```
1
            IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
         FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
 3
                    SOUTHERN DIVISION
 4
       CATINA PARKER, as
       Personal Representative
 5
       of the Estate of Leonard
       Parker, Jr., Deceased,
 6
                     Plaintiff.
 7
                                     No. 1:21-cv-00217
            VS.
 8
       CITY OF GULFPORT, a
 9
       municipal corporation:
       JASON CUEVAS, in his
10
       individual and official
       capacity; and JOHN DOE
       OFFICERS #1-5 in their
11
       official and individual
12
       capacities.
                     Defendants.
13
14
            The video-recorded deposition of
15
     JOHN STAMM, P.E., called by the Defendant for
16
     examination, taken pursuant to notice and
17
     pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
18
     for the United States District Courts pertaining
     to the taking of depositions, before Gina M.
19
20
     Sylvester, Registered Professional Reporter, at
     321 North Clark Street, Chicago, Illinois, on
21
     September 28, 2023, commencing at 11:09 a.m.
22
23
     Reported By: Gina M. Sylvester, CSR, RPR
24
     License No: 084-004856
```



1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	ROMANUCCI & BLANDIN, LLC
4	BY: MS. BHAVANI K. RAVEENDRAN
5	321 North Clark Street, Suite 900
6	Chicago, Illinois 60654
7	Phone: (312) 471-0303
8	E-Mail: B.raveendran@rblaw.net
9	-AND-
10	COXWELL & ASSOCIATES, PLLC
11	BY: MS. COURTNEY SANDERS (Via telephone)
12	MR. CHARLES MULLINS (Via telephone)
13	P.O. Box 1337
14	Jackson, Mississippi 39215
15	Phone: (601) 948-1600
16	E-Mail: Chuckm@coxwelllaw.com
17	Courtneys@coxwelllaw.com
18	Representing the Plaintiff;
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



1	APPEARANCES: (Cont.)
2	
3	GULFPORT CITY ATTORNEY
4	BY: MR. JEFFREY S. BRUNI (Via Zoom)
5	2309 15th Street
6	Gulfport, Mississippi 39501
7	Phone: (228) 868-5906
8	E-Mail: Jbruni@gulfport-ms.gov
9	Representing the City of Gulfport;
10	
11	COPELAND, COOK, TAYLOR & BUSH, P.A.
12	BY: MR. WILLIAM WHITFIELD (Via Zoom)
13	MS. KAARA LIND (Via Zoom)
14	Centennial Plaza
15	200 East Beach Boulevard, Building 5
16	Gulfport, Mississippi 39507
17	Phone: (601) 856-7200
18	E-Mail: Bwhitfield@wewiii.net
19	Klind@cctb.com
20	Representing Jason Cuevas.
21	
22	
23	
24	



1	INDEX
2	EXAMINATION BY PAGE
3	BY MR. WHITFIELD06
4	BY MS. RAVEENDRAN185
5	BY MR. WHITFIELD194
6	
7	EXHIBITS
8	NUMBER MARKED
9	Exhibit No. 5209
10	Exhibit No. 5365
11	Exhibit No. 5465
12	Exhibit No. 5565
13	Exhibit No. 5665
14	Exhibit No. 5765
15	Exhibit No. 58145
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



1 So this is the deposition of MR. WHITFIELD: 2 John Stamm. And we are here together in the --3 the case of Parker versus the City of Gulfport 4 and Jason Cuevas. And pursuant to the rules, 5 what we did is, we sent a deposition notice to the plaintiff's counsel in this particular case, 6 7 and hopefully that was forwarded on to you. 8 Mr. Stamm. 9 Before we get started in talking about all of that stuff, pursuant to the rules, the 10 11 local rules require at least that there be a --12 kind of a panoramic view of the room before we 13 get started. So we have it, y'all have it. 14 Everybody is seeing each other in a panoramic 15 view. And then now, what we can do, Bhavani, 16 17 is we can focus in on the witness. Okay? 18 MS. RAVEENDRAN: Yep. I'll do that right 19 now. 20 MR. WHITFIELD: Good deal. I don't know that 21 I can do the same with me, nor would I want to 22 because the less you see of my face, the better 23 -- I've got face for radio. Okay? That's pretty cool right there, to zoom



in like that. That's kind of neat. I've got to 1 2 Is that something that I can get that camera. 3 get at Best Buy? 4 MS. RAVEENDRAN: Yes. 5 MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. I'm there today. 6 So, Ms. Court Reporter, would 7 you please swear in our witness, please? 8 JOHN STAMM. having been first duly sworn, was examined and 9 testified as follows: 10 11 **EXAMINATION** 12 BY MR. WHITFIELD: 13 Mr. Stamm, hey, my name is Bill Q. Whitfield. I represent Jason Cuevas in a case 14 15 that's filed down here in the United States District Court, Southern District of 16 17 Mississippi, Southern Division. The title of 18 the case is Catina Parker, as Personal 19 Representative of the Estate of Leonard Parker 20 versus the City of Gulfport and Jason Cuevas, in 21 his individual and official capacity. The cause 22 of action number of that case is 23 1:21-CV-00217-HSO-BWR. 24 We forwarded and filed, actually, a



copy of the notice of deposition in this case for today for 10:30. Unfortunately, we had some technical difficulties which has delayed us, and hopefully, that will not, in turn, delay you.

But as part of that notice of deposition, Mr. Stamm, there were several things that we had asked under Rule 30(b)(5), which may not mean a whole lot to you, the rule number, but there is an attachment that was attached to your deposition notice.

Have you had a chance to look at the deposition notice since we had filed it and sent it to the lawyer that has hired you?

- A. I have.
- Q. Okay. All right. Did you happen to see those items that were requested as part of the deposition process?
  - A. I did.
- Q. Okay. So you have produced and we received this morning and that may have been another reason why we weren't able to get in here, you know, earlier to kind of test out our system, because we were looking at several things that you had produced, and I'm going to



1 deal with some of them. 2 But as far as the items that were 3 produced, we have made a -- like, an index of I got my paralegal to make an index of 4 5 the things that were produced. And what I'll do is, I'll just kind of run down the index items. 6 7 It's kind of one page, and maybe it leaks over to the next page, a couple of things. I'll just 8 9 kind of go over them for the record. 10 MR. WHITFIELD: And, then, Ms. Court 11 Reporter, what I may want to do is, I may want 12 to send you this kind of index of the items that 13 were produced that we're going to go over, and 14 attach that as the next exhibit. 15 And, Bhavani, what I'm going to do is, I'm going to start with Exhibit No. -- I think 16 17 it's 52. 18 THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. 19 MR. WHITFIELD: I think it's Exhibit 52. 20 Do you have 51, and then we're going to 21 start at 52? Is that how you're doing it? 22 (Brief discussion off the 23 record.) 24 MS. RAVEENDRAN: So, Bill, do you mind



```
telling us which one you want as 52? I printed
 1
 2
     them.
         MR. WHITFIELD: Here's what we'll do:
 3
     make the deposition notice, Gina and Bhavani,
 4
     the deposition notice itself, the next exhibit,
 5
 6
     which would be 52.
 7
                     (Brief discussion off the
 8
                      record.)
         MR. WHITFIELD: We can pull up the items that
 9
     we had requested be brought, we can pull that
10
11
     up, or you can go ahead and get your copy off
12
     your desk and then you can show it to Mr. Stamm.
13
         MS. RAVEENDRAN: You can pull it up. That's
14
     fine.
15
         MR. WHITFIELD: All right. Let's pull it up.
              So what I'll do is, I'll call the
16
17
     deposition notice itself Exhibit No. 52. Okay?
18
                     (Stamm Exhibit No. 52 marked.)
19
         MR. WHITFIELD: And if you would, pull up the
20
     list.
21
     BY MR. WHITFIELD:
22
              Can you see that list, John?
         0.
23
         Α.
              I can.
24
              Okay. It may not -- can you read it or
         Q.
```

## can you see it?

- A. I can read it -- yeah, that's better.
- Q. Okay. All right. Yeah, it was a little small from our end as well.

So what we did is, we kind of put together a list of things that we got this morning. And I'm, you know, going to go over this list with you that we asked you to bring, and let's maybe just see if we can't kind of do a little timeline between items that were requested versus those items that were produced. Okay?

So the first item that we asked you to produce was -- it says, Produce, in separately segregated fashion, the document production, discovery and depositions which the plaintiff's designation represents were reviewed by John Stamm prior to formulating his opinions and as the basis of his opinions.

Now, what we got this morning was a list of things in the sub- -- well, we didn't get a list of things, we got items, and we listed them. And probably, they would all fit under relatively small categories.



1	One of them was identified as 6.15.23
2	Site Inspection. I don't know that there was
3	anything that was particularly intended by the
4	monicker of 6.15.23 Site Inspection, but it's
5	got under that subcategory drone photos and icon
6	photos, Runs 1 through 7 videos, Stamm at scene,
7	and then scanned data, night panoramic pictures.
8	And then the last under site inspection would be
9	VBOX data of runs. So those five things were
10	under site inspection identified as 6.15.23.
11	Is that did you put together that
12	information or that data on 6/15/23; in other
13	words, June 15, '23?
14	A. So I went to the site on June 15th,
15	2023, and that folder had the documentation and
16	data that I collected during that site
17	inspection.
18	Q. Okay. That's fair enough. That's what
19	I that's what I had expected, but I wanted to
20	make sure that my assumption was correct.
21	The drone photos that we have gotten
22	by the way, are you familiar with the federal

licensing requirements for operating a drone?

Α. Yes.



1	Q. Are you a licensed person to actually
2	operate a drone?
3	A. I am.
4	Q. Okay. I don't know what the
5	certification process is, but do you have to be,
6	like, certified by the FAA to operate a drone?
7	A. Yeah, there's an exam that you have to
8	pass.
9	Q. Okay. So when you passed it, I mean,
10	do you get, like, a license or do you get a
11	certification?
12	A. I mean, I have, like, a number that I'm
13	certified.
14	Q. Okay.
15	A. And I guess it's a pilot's license.
16	It's called an unmanned aerial device, I think.
17	UA oh, unmanned aerial vehicle.
18	Q. UAE? UAE?
19	A. I think V, as in Victor.
20	Q. V? Okay.
21	A. But, yeah, there is a license. So my
22	pilot's like, a, quote, pilot's license is
23	tied to the we'll call it a drone, to keep it
24	simple, that I fly.

1	Q. Okay. So the drone photos, though,
2	that were done that just popped in my head.
3	I'm sorry for digressing that was done on
4	6/15/23, June 15, '23?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Okay. And then the Nikon photos, that
7	would have been done by you on June 15 of '23?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. And then it's identified, at
10	least on the files that we got, Runs 1 through 7
11	videos.
12	Those were done also on June 15, 2023?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. Did you answer?
15	A. Yes, correct.
16	Q. Okay. Yeah, there is a little bit of a
17	delay.
18	Scanned data, night panoramic pictures
19	of scene area, that would have been done on June
20	15, '23?
21	A. So the scanned data, yeah. The night
22	panoramic photos, I'm not sure what that is. I
23	didn't take any nighttime photos. I wasn't
24	there during the night.

1	Q. Okay. Okay. So these
2	particular subcategories, that's how they were
3	given to us, so I don't think that we actually
4	renamed any of these titles. So I don't know
5	where they came from, but I know they didn't
6	come from us.
7	So you're saying that the scanned data,
8	the night panoramic pictures of scene area, you
9	wouldn't have any night pictures of scene area?
10	Is that what you're saying?
11	A. Yeah, it doesn't sound familiar. I was
12	there during the day. I'm not sure what I'd
13	have to look you'd have to put it on the
14	screen. I'm not sure what the night panoramic
15	photo is.
16	Q. Okay. The last thing under site
17	inspection is VBOX data of runs it says
18	"rums," but I think is means Runs 4 through 6.
19	Does that mean anything to you?
20	A. Yes, that's
21	Q. Okay.
22	A. Do you want me to explain what a VBOX
23	is?



Q.

24

Briefly, briefly.

1	A. It's a GPS data logger, so it tracks
2	the location and you can it also monitors
3	speed and distance traveled.
4	Q. Okay. Is this the first time, on June
5	15 of 2023, that you came down to actually look
6	at the site? Is that the first and only time
7	that you that you saw it?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. All right. When were you
10	retained in this case?
11	A. I would have to check the invoice. But
12	I know
13	Q. Approximately, approximately.
14	A. Let's see, my report's June 30th. So
15	early June, late May, something like that.
16	Q. Okay. Of 2023?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. The next subcategory appears to
19	be a compilation of open source data on the
20	truck, 2014 GMC Sierra 1500. And I'll just go
21	down then, because I've got some questions that
22	I want to ask you about.
23	And, by the way, under that
24	subcategory, would that have been data and items

1	that you would have pulled after being retained
2	in May or June of this year?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. Have you ever worked for
5	Ms. Bhavani before?
6	A. No, I have not.
7	Q. Have you ever worked for anybody in
8	the I think it's the Romanucci firm?
9	A. Oh, well, I guess yeah, I was
10	thinking of I guess in the other the other
11	matter you were involved.
12	So, yeah, I have worked with them on
13	two other matters.
14	Q. Okay. The firm or with Bhavani?
15	A. One other matter with Bhavani; the
16	firm, two other matters. So three total
17	including this one.
18	Q. Okay. So one other matter with
19	Ms. Raveendran, and then you've got two others
20	going on with other members of the firm, right?
21	A. You said Mr. Ingram?
22	MS. RAVEENDRAN: No, my last name.
23	THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry.
24	

1	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
2	Q. Raveendran would be Bhavani.
3	A. Yeah, sorry, I didn't actually, I
4	don't know her last name.
5	So I had three total with the firm, two
6	of them with Bhavani, one with someone else at
7	the firm.
8	Q. Oh, okay. So two with Bhavani, and
9	then one with somebody else from the firm for a
10	total of three for the firm?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. Okay. The other matter that you are
13	working on with Bhavani, would that have
14	predated May or June of 2023?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Okay. Can you give me just a rough
17	estimate about what year and what month you
18	would have been retained on in the other matter?
19	A. It's within the last couple of years.
20	I really don't have a number.
21	Q. Okay. That narrows it down.
22	So since COVID began?
23	A. I think so.
24	Q. Okay. So since COVID?

1	A. Sounds reasonable, right?
2	Q. Was the other matter an auto accident?
3	A. It involved an auto accident.
4	Q. Okay. Did it involve you giving
5	opinions as a motor vehicle accident
6	reconstructionist?
7	A. I haven't given opinions in that case.
8	Q. Oh, okay. All right. So you haven't
9	been deposed then, I take it, right?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. Okay. So it involves another accident,
12	auto accident, right? Was it an auto accident?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. When you say "auto accident," I
15	guess that's kind of like beauty being in the
16	eyes of the beholder. Auto accidents can happen
17	between two vehicles.
18	Was it basically a multi-vehicle auto
19	accident or maybe a single-car auto accident?
20	A. There was two vehicles in the
21	collision.
22	Q. Okay. Okay. The other matter that
23	you're working on with someone else in her firm,
24	was that is that also an auto accident-type

1	case?	
2	A. It is. But there's only I guess it	
3	was a vehicle/multi-pedestrian accident.	
4	Q. Okay. So one vehicle, one pedestrian?	
5	A. There were several pedestrians.	
6	Q. I'm sorry?	
7	A. There were several pedestrians.	
8	Q. Oh, several pedestrians. Okay. I	
9	missed the "several" part.	
10	Were you deposed in that case or have	
11	you been deposed in that case?	
12	A. NO.	
13	Q. Did you offer any opinions in that	
14	case?	
15	A. I have not.	
16	Q. Okay. So no opinions of record and no	
17	depositions in the other matter for someone else	
18	in her firm.	
19	And then the other matter that you're	
20	helping or at least serving as an expert for	
21	Bhavani is a two-vehicle auto accident where	
22	there's been no opinions offered and no	
23	deposition given.	
24	Have I summarized that okay?	

A. That sounds correct.

Q. Okay. All right. Now, back on the -kind of the subcategories of the material that
we received this morning, there's approximately
ten items all pertaining to the vehicle, a 2014
GMC Sierra 1500. And let me just kind of run
down that list real quick and then maybe you can
-- I've got a couple of questions, I guess,
about some of this stuff.

There's the EpicVIN for the vehicle, which we're going to -- we're going to mark and use that for examination. And I've got that here, photos of the Parker truck.

Where did you obtain photos of Parker's truck?

- A. So are you talking about within the EpicVIN folder?
- Q. What I've got -- what I've got are separate pictures -- well, they would have been in the 2014 GMC Sierra 1500 subtitle on the -- on the items that we got. And I do have a separate folder that simply has photographs in it of the -- I take it, it's of the GMC Sierra pickup. There's about ten of them, maybe eight



of them.

## Do you remember?

A. Yeah, so I think I know what you're talking about. So EpicVIN is a website. It's kind of like CARFAX, if you're familiar with CARFAX. You put in the VIN and it autogenerates a report. And towards the bottom of the report, it includes photos of the vehicle potentially if it -- it doesn't always, but sometimes if the vehicle went out for, like, a salvage auction, or sometimes even if, you know, the dealer -- you know, there's no accident, the dealer just puts it for sale and they have photos on the internet.

So EpicVIN is like an aggregator, and it -- they found those photos, and so I just included them in the folder, the EpicVIN folder.

Q. You know, I don't know enough about reading the kind of collateral data to get some idea about the date of these vehicles.

Do you know when these pictures were taken?

A. In the EpicVIN report, there should be a date associated with those photos.



1	Q. Okay.
2	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Bill, Kaara, is there any
3	way you could share, you know, the file on the
4	screen?
5	MR. WHITFIELD: Sure, yeah.
6	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Because then he can walk you
7	through it, I think, a little easier. He's
8	trying to, like, guess from memory what the name
9	of those files were.
10	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm thinking of the file
11	structure in my brain.
12	MR. WHITFIELD: Yeah, we can do that. We can
13	do that.
14	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Thank you.
15	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
16	Q. There were several documents that were
17	identified very specifically about the truck.
18	You know, one of them was CARFAX and the other
19	one was FAXVIN.
20	Does FAXVIN sound familiar to you,
21	John, that would have been associated with those
22	pictures?
23	A. So if you go into the 2014 yep,
24	click on that folder right there. Under



1	EpicVIN	
2	Q.	Okay.
3	Α.	open that folder.
4		So, yeah, see the date, 8/31/20? So
5	that's A	august 31st, 2020. And I got that date
6	from the	EpicVIN report. So I can't, you
7	know	
8	Q.	Okay.
9	Α.	verify that that's the date of these
10	photos.	But if you go back one folder and click
11	on the E	picVIN PDF.
12	Q.	Okay.
13	Α.	So start scrolling down.
14	Q.	Yeah, I do have that one printed. I do
15	have tha	t one printed out. Let me pull it real
16	quick.	
17		So when you say "EpicVIN," that picture
18	that	document that we've got pulled up there,
19	that wou	old have been associated with these
20	pictures	5?
21	Α.	Yes, this document. So if you scroll
22	down on	the PDF towards the bottom
23	Q.	Okay.
24	Α.	you will at least you should

1	see
2	Q. How far do we scroll down?
3	A. Probably most of the way. You'll see,
4	like, thumbnails of those photos.
5	Q. Oh, I see them. I see them.
6	MR. WHITFIELD: It's about Page 5 or 6, sales
7	history. Bottom of yeah, just keep on going
8	till you see it's going to have sales
9	there you go, right there.
10	THE WITNESS: Right there.
11	So do you see
12	MR. WHITFIELD: Pull it up so sales history
13	is on the top.
14	THE WITNESS: See where it says, Was put on
15	sale August 31st, 2020?
16	MR. WHITFIELD: Oh, okay. Okay.
17	THE WITNESS: So what I did is, I made a
18	subfolder, and then I just right-clicked on
19	these photos just to save them within just a
20	little bit larger.
21	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
22	Q. Sure. Got them we got them. I see
23	what you're talking about.
24	So those photographs would have been

essentially open-source photographs that
somebody associated with the EpicVIN, they would
have taken that picture, right those
pictures?

- A. So they would have obtained them. I think they're like an aggregator. I think they somehow work with salvage auctions. I'm not sure how they find their information, but they obtained it.
- Q. Do we have any way of knowing, John, when the picture was specifically taken as opposed to them being associated with the title of "Was put on sale August the 31st, 2020"?
- A. Not that I know of. When you click on the individual photos, there's no metadata to tell you when the photo was taken.
  - Q. Right. Okay.

I take it, then, that since we are kind of adopting the notion that the vehicle was sold -- or put on sale August the 31st, 2020, and maybe sold shortly after that, that you've not had a chance to actually inspect the vehicle?

- A. I have not.
- Q. Okay. Have you made an effort to reach



1	out to somebody to try to get some availability
2	of this vehicle to inspect or to look at?
3	A. I have not.
4	Q. Okay. We're going to we're going to
5	come back to that because there is some things
6	on that one that I do want to ask you about.
7	Thank you for that explanation about the
8	pictures.
9	Okay. So we're still on the
10	subcategories. And we've got photos of Parker's
11	truck that we're going to talk about. The 2-9
12	GMC manual, the auto unlock, I'm not real sure
13	that I need to deal with that.
14	The next that you produced was GMC
15	product information, 2014 GMC Sierra 1500. I
16	looked at that, and I didn't really see anything
17	in there that I needed to ask you specifically
18	about.
19	The next item was FAXVIN for a 2014 GMC
20	Sierra. And I do have that, and that's another
21	document that I will want to talk to you about.
22	I have that here.
23	CARFAX report, I've got that as well.
24	I do want to talk to you about that one.

1	MS. LIND: (Indiscernible.)
2	MR. WHITFIELD: Sure, okay. Yeah. Do you
3	want to just click open that document right
4	there, the 2014? Right there.
5	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
6	Q. Yeah, see all the things that I'm going
7	over with you, John, they're listed here, but
8	they're as produced. Okay? They were produced
9	to us like this with this listing.
10	Make sense?
11	A. Yep.
12	Q. Okay. All right. So I'm kind of going
13	down the list.
14	Universal VIN decoding and vehicle info
15	on 2014, I looked at that. You know, it didn't
16	really seem impressive to me enough to talk to
17	you about.
18	Then the owner's manual, a 2014.
19	Then the VIN decoder for equipment
20	summary and tech specs. Do you see that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay. That kind of closes out on the
23	2014 GMC Sierra 1500.
24	All of these things would have been

1	obtained or, you know, in some instances, maybe
2	even generated by you since May or June of this
3	year, correct?
4	A. Correct.
5	MR. WHITFIELD: All right. Let's go to the
6	calculations.
7	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
8	Q. That would have been another
9	subcategory of things that were produced to us.
10	I see that there are about three pages there.
11	One of them is kinematics, one of them is
12	tractive force, and the other one is work
13	energy. Okay? And that would have been
14	produced by you in connection with our 30(b)(5)
15	request.
16	And, again, that material was pulled by
17	you since you were retained, correct?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. The next subcategory is of deposition
20	summaries. So what I'm showing you here is the
21	identity of those summaries as produced. Our
22	deposition summary just simply has the name.
23	The first one is Stephanie Baldwin,



24

next is Jason Cuevas, then Angela Jackson

followed by Geraldine McNair, then Maxine Owens, and then the final two are Brandon Teates and Derrian Tremaine. I think he's Markray. I think that's his last name.

So did you -- did you read all these depositions, or did somebody summarize those depositions for you in-house?

- A. So some of them, I read and summarized myself. Some of them were initially summarized by a colleague, a couple colleagues, but then I went back and checked the relevant portions of the depositions.
- Q. Okay. When you say "a colleague," would that have been an engineer colleague, somebody that's a P.E. colleague?
- A. You know, I'd have to check the invoice to say that. We had an intern, I think, this summer. He's studying mechanical engineering at University of Purdue. I think he read a couple of them. We have another colleague named J.P. who's not an engineer, but he does a lot of deposition summaries on fact witnesses. I think both of them summarized one or two.
  - Q. Is J.P. an employee of yours?



1	A. Not of me, but he works at Fusion
2	Engineering.
3	Q. Okay. So he's an employee of Fusion
4	Engineering?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Okay. All right. You're an employee
7	of Fusion Engineering?
8	A. I am.
9	Q. Okay. Do you own any particular
10	interest in that entity?
11	A. I do not.
12	Q. Okay. So both you and J.P. are
13	employees, and then you had a summer intern or a
14	clerk or something like that that did some of
15	them?
16	A. Yeah, engineering student.
17	Q. At Purdue?
18	A. Yep.
19	Q. Okay. Which ones do you remember
20	actually reading and summarizing yourself?
21	A. Brandon Teates, Derrian Tremaine, Jason
22	Cuevas, I'm trying to think, probably Angela
23	Jackson. You can you can tell how I my
24	file structure is. I put the little underscore.

And also, if you open up, for example, 1 2 the Jason Cuevas deposition summary. 3 Q. Okay. 4 It's not showing on our screen. 5 MR. WHITFIELD: You may have to double-click 6 it again. You have to do it twice. 7 (Indiscernible.) MS. LIND: MR. WHITFIELD: Huh? Yeah, that's the 8 9 summary, right there, of Jason. BY MR. WHITFIELD: 10 11 So you were about to say whatever it 0. 12 was that you did -- you knew you did this? 13 Yeah, it's just another way -- well, Α. 14 one, I remember reading his dep. But, two, if 15 you look in the upper left-hand corner, you see created by J.J.S., those are my initials. 16 17 Q. Okay. Fair enough. Okay. 18 MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. So let's go out of 19 that and go back to the index, the file index. 20 BY MR. WHITFIELD: 21 Okay. So the file index has got those 0. 22 deponents down there. And the earliest one 23 seems to be May 24 and then May 25, and then --



24

actually, May 22nd. May 22nd is the earliest.

1	Would that give you any idea by having
2	a date down there of Tremaine, Derrian and
3	I'm using your name, or at least the name you've
4	given that folder. Would you in seeing that,
5	do you have any better idea about when you were
6	retained?
7	A. So those dates are the dates the
8	depositions were taken.
9	Q. Okay. All right. Not the date that
10	you actually looked at them and summarized them?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. Okay. Fair enough. That does sound
13	right.
14	So let's by the way, let me ask you
15	whether you had a chance to actually look at the
16	video deposition of Mr. Markray?
17	A. I did not look at the video. I don't
18	remember if it was sent to me or not. I don't
19	but I did not look at the video.
20	Q. Okay. Did you have a chance to look at
21	the actual video interview that was that took
22	place at the Gulfport police station on the next
23	morning after this incident occurred?



With whom?

- Q. Of Markray, Mr. Markray.

  A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. Okay. So you did watch that interview by the officers that were in the room with him?
    - A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And I'm going to ask you about that a little bit more when we get farther into your deposition.

Did you watch the whole thing, even -because there was a -- kind of a space between
the end of the interview, then the camera ran a
couple of minutes while he's in the room alone,
and then all of a sudden he started talking to
himself.

## Do you remember seeing that?

- A. I think I did because I think it was brought up in his deposition, so I went back and looked at it.
- Q. Okay. So when you read his deposition, it kind of was a prompt to you to go back and look at that video summary -- or that video depiction?
- A. Yeah, I'm not sure if I saw it when I initially looked at the file or only after it



was talked about in his deposition.

- Q. But you saw it nonetheless, right?
- A. Yes.

Q. Okay. We -- and Bhavani will probably simply have to confirm this. We had a very, very difficult time getting all of the MBI file, and we finally got our hands on it last week and I think we produced it earlier this week, the rest of the MBI file, the Mississippi Bureau of Investigation file.

Within that file was a -- I think it was a car camera in one of the officers' -- I can't remember who it was, I think it was Cook, where Mr. Markray is sitting in the backseat of a patrol car, and as with the later interview, he was talking to himself.

Have you had a chance to look at that?

- A. That does not sound familiar.
- Q. Okay. All right. Fair enough.

Did you have a chance to actually watch or look at the video deposition -- the video of Jason Cuevas's deposition?

- A. I did not.
- Q. Okay. Okay. All right. The next



1	subcategory of the things that we were provided
2	was literature. You know, all well and good.
3	There's two articles under there. And we can
4	talk about that. I want to I want to
5	probably talk to you about that when you get
6	into the CCR in your report. That's probably
7	going to be relevant to that discussion there.
8	But we did, nonetheless, get two
9	articles from you. You can confirm that by
10	seeing that on the screen?
11	A. I see it.
12	Q. Okay. Again, I can't take any type of,
13	you know, privilege of authorship of how these
14	documents are called.
15	I take it that that's the name you gave
16	them to produce them?
17	A. So those articles that's just what
18	they're downloaded as far as
19	Q. Okay.
20	A their names. Both of those articles
21	are cited as footnotes in the report.
22	Q. Okay. That's fine.
23	Well, the names are different than what
24	the title is on the computer. And we can get



1	into that, if necessary.
2	But what you're saying is, is that when
3	you downloaded those articles, that was the name
4	that they were downloaded as?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Okay. Then there is another subtopic
7	called "vehicle inspections."
8	Do you see that?
9	A. I do.
10	Q. And then under "vehicle inspections,"
11	there appears to be the two different
12	folders.
13	I take it you created those folders,
14	right?
15	A. I did.
16	MR. WHITFIELD: Why don't you open up the
17	
	first one, the top one, so we can just kind of
18	first one, the top one, so we can just kind of get an idea about what that is on the video, the
18	get an idea about what that is on the video, the
18 19	get an idea about what that is on the video, the Zoom video recording. Open it up.
18 19 20	get an idea about what that is on the video, the Zoom video recording. Open it up.  Can we go back?
18 19 20 21	get an idea about what that is on the video, the Zoom video recording. Open it up.  Can we go back?  MS. LIND: Go back, it

	JOINI Stanni, P.E. 09/26
1	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
2	Q. Yeah, so you can see at the bottom,
3	there's a lot of thumbnails.
4	MR. WHITFIELD: Any way you can kind of move
5	through that small bar at the bottom with your
6	computer? Double-click. There you go.
7	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
8	Q. So, yeah, so I guess these would have
9	been photographs, if I remember, that were taken
10	from the MBI, a Brandon Teates?
11	A. No, these were photographs
12	Q. No? Okay.
13	A. These are photographs that I took of an
14	exemplar truck down in Louisiana.
15	Q. Got it. Okay.
16	So you found this particular truck

bearing -- in the upper left-hand corner, there is a -- I guess that's the thing that's on the inside of the door. It's got the VIN number and the model and all that stuff.

You found that truck in Louisiana, and you took all these pictures?

- A. I did.
- Q. Okay. Did you go look at that truck in



17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Τ	Louisiana whenever you were here back in June?
2	A. Yeah, the day before.
3	Q. Okay. You looked at this truck the day
4	before, before you came here?
5	A. No, so I think I looked at the site
6	inspection, if I remember, on June 15th. I flew
7	into Louisiana on June 14th, and I looked at
8	this exemplar truck on the 14th. I think the
9	folder said 6/14/23.
10	Q. Okay. And then you came and looked at
11	the site on June the 15th?
12	A. I think so. Let me
13	MS. RAVEENDRAN: And for the record, Bill,
14	he's got a copy of his report in front of him.
15	He's looking at it, if that's okay with you.
16	MR. WHITFIELD: That's fine, that's fine. If
17	he's got a way to make that date a little bit
18	more accurate, that's fine.
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah, June 15th, I looked at
20	the site and then
21	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
22	Q. What page are you on, John?
23	A. So on Page
24	Q. 8?



1	A. Page 20 of my report.
2	Q. Page 20. Okay.
3	A. See Section 7.0?
4	Q. Okay. I see that.
5	A. Yeah, so June 15.
6	Q. I see that.
7	A. And then if you go to Page 26, the top
8	paragraph says, "On June 14th, I inspected."
9	That's the truck you're looking at in these
10	photos.
11	Q. Okay. Now I get it. I think that
12	connected some dots for me.
13	So on Page 26 of your report, the two
14	thousand and well, there's two down here.
15	Are you looking at your report?
16	A. I am.
17	Q. All right. So under "8.0 Fusion
18	Engineering Inspection of Exemplar Trucks,"
19	there two of them under here. One of them is a
20	2014 and one of them is a 2015.
21	Do you see that?
22	A. Yes. So the photos on the screen right
23	now are the June 14th, 2023, down in Louisiana.
24	On June 22nd, I looked at a different exemplar



truck up in the Chicagoland area. 1 2 Okav. Okay. So the one that you Q. 3 looked at on the 14th would have been the 4 vehicle in Louisiana? 5 Α. Correct. 6 Did -- how did you locate a vehicle --Q. 7 this particular exemplar, 2015, to even reach 8 out to somebody to come look at it? Tell me how 9 vou did that. I believe I used a website 10 Α. Sure. 11 called Autotrader. There's a number --12 Okay. Q. 13 There's a number of them. I think I used Autotrader. And you can filter by 14 15 manufacturer, make, year range, trim line, and 16 so that's what I did. Okay. And then you determined, based 17 Q. 18 upon using Autotrader, that there was a -- this 19 2015 was in Louisiana. 20 I guess you would have flown into New 21 Orleans, right? 22 Correct. Yeah, you can also filter by Α. 23 location, so I put in New Orleans, and then you



24

can say, you know, within 50 miles, 100 miles.

1	And this one was, you know, somewhere a
2	reasonable driving distance in New Orleans or
3	just outside.
4	Q. Did you go to, like did you go to,
5	like, a dealer, or did you go to somebody's
6	house?
7	A. A dealer. Autotrader only has vehicles
8	for sale. I don't
9	Q. Okay.
10	A. Yeah, and they're all dealerships. At
11	least I don't
12	Q. Okay. So you went to a dealer to go
13	look at this truck?
14	A. Yeah, I just asked if I could take some
15	photos, and they said okay.
16	Q. Sure. Okay.
17	Do you remember specifically the name
18	of the dealer?
19	A. I don't. There might have been a photo
20	if you scroll all the way to the right, I
21	think I took a photo of the sticker, the for
22	sale sticker.
23	MR. WHITFIELD: You may have to unclick the
24	one's you've got.

1 She's going to unclick these. 2 Go all the way to the right. It looks like there's a, like, a --3 4 THE WITNESS: You had it up. MR. WHITFIELD: There you go, right there. 5 Click that one. There you go. 6 7 BY MR. WHITFIELD: Nicholson's College Cars. Okay. 8 0. Ι 9 know -- all right. So Marrero, you had to go across the river to get to this car. 10 11 Did you go to Nicholson's College Cars? 12 I think so, yeah. Α. 13 Okay. All right. Good to know. Okay. Q. The vehicle that you went and looked at 14 in this particular instance was a 2015. Right? 15 16 You know that the vehicle that Mr. Parker was 17 driving was a 2014 Sierra, right? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Okav. So. I guess. did vou use 0. 20 Autotrader to locate a 2014 GMC Sierra 1500? 21 So I did look at a 2014 back in Α. 22 Chicago, but this particular -- you know, the 23 GMC SLE trim line for the Sierra 1500, the '14 24 and '15 model years were the same. They didn't

1	make any changes that I could find with my
2	research online. So it's basically the same
3	truck.
4	Q. Sure. So but the 2014 GMC Sierra
5	that you looked at, that would have been in the
6	Chicago area?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. Did you find this vehicle pretty much
9	the same way that you found the 2015 down in New
10	Orleans?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Autotrader?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay.
15	MR. WHITFIELD: Let me see. Would you go
16	back to the go back to the index real quick.
17	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
18	Q. All right. Those those all are
19	pictures of the 2015 that are under the exemplar
20	inspection, all those right there.
21	Did you take any pictures of the 2014
22	vehicle?
23	A. Yes. If you go back to "vehicle
24	inspections," and then the rolling

Okay. 1 Q. 2 -- the rolling resistance test. Α. Right there? 3 Q. The exemplar truck folder at the top. 4 Α. 5 Q. Okay. 6 That is the 2014. Α. 7 Q. Okay. Understood. So those were all -- those are where 8 9 the pictures of the 2014 GMC Sierra is that you inspected up in Chicago --10 11 Α. Correct. 12 -- correct? Q. 13 Α. Yes. MR. WHITFIELD: That one is being pulled up. 14 MS. LIND: I don't know why --15 (indiscernible). 16 17 MR. WHITFIELD: Our programs are not liking 18 each other, John. 19 MS. LIND: No, I got it. It's just the way 20 it is. 21 THE WITNESS: It's okay. 22 BY MR. WHITFIELD: 23 Okay. So there's the photograph of the Q.

24

2014 that you took -- that you inspected?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. Did you take these pictures?
3	A. I did.
4	Q. Okay. I have a 2014 Chevrolet that
5	looks just Chevrolet truck that looks just
6	like that. It's not a GMC Sierra. That
7	particular vehicle looks pretty good at least by
8	the pictures.
9	Did it look pretty good to you whenever
10	you came to see it whenever you went to see
11	it?
12	A. Yeah, they had it all detailed and
13	ready for sale.
14	Q. I got it.
15	Did you happen to did you drive that
16	vehicle? Did you drive it?
17	A. I think in the parking lot a little
18	bit.
19	Q. Okay.
20	A. We did go up and down
21	Q. Did you, like
22	A. We did go up and down the road for a
23	short test drive.
24	O Okay All right Did you hannen to

1	notice the number that was on the odometer on
2	this particular vehicle, 2014?
3	A. I probably took a photo of that. If
4	not, it should be on the sticker, the for sale
5	sticker.
6	Q. Okay. Okay. So we're going to try to
7	open that up here in just a
8	MR. WHITFIELD: Well, the for sale the
9	sale sticker. It looks like it's that one, the
10	next one, Kaara, right there. Maybe one over.
11	Is that the one?
12	MS. LIND: No, that's, like, the VIN sticker.
13	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, that's a
14	description of the features. I'm seeing if
15	there's a mileage.
16	Yeah, right there. Upper left. It's
17	kind of hard to read. Just you can zoom in
18	just to the right of "city." I believe
19	that's
20	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
21	Q. Oh, I see. Yeah, okay. 116?
22	A. That's what it looks like.
23	Q. Okay. 116,794 miles.
24	MR. WHITFIELD: Get me the yeah, the upper

left, right there.

BY MR. WHITFIELD:

Q. Okay. Just out of curiosity, on this particular vehicle, you did produce one of your reports that seem to -- let me see if I can find it. It's the one that we looked at earlier that had the thumbnails of this particular vehicle at the bottom of Page 6.

And we're going to get to this in just a minute, and I don't want to have to go through all the gymnastics of going back and forth and back and forth.

MR. WHITFIELD: Bring us back to the index there, Kaara.

## BY MR. WHITFIELD:

Q. On one of the documents that you produced which -- it doesn't have a title. It just says at the top, "2014 GMC." I think it's that box something. It looks to me like the period of time that Mr. Parker owned this car would have been in 2020. And I can't really tell whether he would have been the third or the fourth owner because there's a third owner that's got an odometer reading of 157,000 miles,



```
and then there's another fourth owner that shows
 1
 2
     140,000, and it's the same purchase date in
     2020. I don't know how long Mr. Parker would
 3
 4
     have owned this truck.
              Do you know anything about that?
 5
         MR. WHITFIELD: Can you find that one right
 6
 7
             It's not CARFAX. It's that 14 MPG up
     there?
8
     there at the top.
9
              Go up, right there.
         MS. LIND: This one?
10
11
                         It's the one right there
         MR. WHITFIELD:
12
     that's got the pictures at the bottom.
13
              It's getting pulled up, John.
14
         THE WITNESS:
                       Sure.
15
         MR. WHITFIELD: I think that's the document
16
     -- isn't that the document that you said had the
17
     thumbnails at the bottom of the pages?
18
         THE WITNESS:
                       No.
19
         MR. WHITFIELD: I'm pretty sure --
20
         THE WITNESS:
                       No.
21
         MR. WHITFIELD: Huh?
22
         THE WITNESS: No, the thumbnails were within
23
     the EpicVIN document, but --
24
         MR. WHITFIELD: Yes, that's correct.
```

Go to the EpicVIN, right there. Yeah, 1 the EpicVIN -- go to that document right there. 2 3 Click that one up. It's coming. 4 5 Yeah, that's -- go to the top of it. Go to the top of that document. 6 7 There you go. BY MR. WHITFIELD: 8 9 Can you see that document that is up there on your screen? At the top, it's got 2014 10 11 GMC Sierra. 12 Α. I can. **13** Now, I guess I'm assuming -- and maybe Q. 14 you can confirm this -- that that's VIN number 15 -- that's the VIN number of the vehicle that was involved in this particular event? 16 17 Α. It should be. I can confirm it right 18 now. Right. I'm assuming that --19 0. 20 Α. Yeah. 21 -- for the reason -- yeah. Q. 22 The reason we put it in there. Α. 23 Right, right. I haven't compared it, Q. 24 but I assume that that would have been your

1	intention at least
2	A. Yeah.
3	Q in producing this document.
4	So you can see here "Ownership History"
5	that's roughly toward the bottom of the page.
6	MR. WHITFIELD: Maybe we can pull that up a
7	little bit higher so we can see the fifth owner
8	down there. Can you pull that up?
9	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
10	Q. Well, okay. So here's what we're
11	looking at, ownership history. The first owner
12	apparently bought it in '14. I guess the reason
13	that the box itself is communicating four years,
14	five months, that must have been the first
15	owner.
16	And then the second owner, in 2018 I
17	don't know when Mr. Parker purchased this
18	vehicle. I guess it's possible that he could
19	have purchased it in 2018 with 84,000 miles on
20	it.
21	Do you know do you know when he
22	would have purchased this vehicle?
23	A. No.
24	0 Woll in 2020



A. I --

Q. -- there are two boxes.

A. But I don't even know if it's been established that he is the owner of the vehicle. I think it was implied. But I haven't seen any, like, sales records or documents stating that he was the owner. I think it was implied, though.

Q. Right. Well, I'm making the same assumption. Okay?

Now, this event happened in 2020. And there are two boxes down there, a third and a fourth owner. It may very well be that these are exchanges made after the event in 2020. That would stand to reason.

However, I wouldn't think that the mileage would be, you know -- there would be that big of a difference in the mileage if you're talking about this vehicle being purchased from salvor to salvor. So maybe you can help me out understanding why this document has got such a disparity between the number of the miles on the vehicle -- on the same vehicle in 2020 between the third and the fourth owner.

A. So I don't know how they pull those



1 I think that CARFAX does a better job numbers. 2 outlining the history of who owned the vehicle. Right. We'll get -- we've got that and 3 Q. 4 we can pull that up. You know, we'll pull that 5 up in just a minute. But if you look down there, there's the 6 7 fifth owner. And the fifth owner is purchasing the vehicle in 2021, which we know is the next 8 year after this event. And it's got 140,000 9 miles on it, which makes me think that maybe 10 11 140,000 is more truer than 157. would that -- actually, the second and 12 the third owner, 156 to 157. So I'm thinking --13 do you know whether that period of time between 14 15 2018 to 2020, the second to the third owner, reflects the years of ownership of Mr. Parker? 16 17 Do you know that or not know that or... 18 Α. I don't know --19 0. No? 20 I don't know when he purchased it and Α. 21 what owner he was, assuming he did purchase it. 22

MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. Let's pull up the

(Indiscernible.) MS. LIND:

CARFAX. The CARFAX is on that subline.



23

No, no, we haven't pulled 1 MR. WHITFIELD: 2 that up yet. So just go out of all of -- in fact, why don't you shut all those down. 3 4 might be what's dragging us down. 5 So do I go --MS. LIND: Yeah. 6 MR. WHITFIELD: Go back to the index of this 7 vehicle. There you go, right there. 8 9 BY MR. WHITFIELD: Did you happen to -- when you reviewed 10 Q. 11 this document, I guess when you pulled it down, did you review it to determine when Mr. Parker 12 13 would have bought this vehicle, CARFAX? No, that wasn't really my reason. 14 Α. 15 Basically, whenever I have a case that has a vehicle involved, the first thing I do is 16 17 download the CARFAX and the EpicVIN report. 18 think at the time I was retained, it was still 19 unclear where this truck was, and so I just --20 it's just like an initial -- let's just find out 21 everything I can about the vehicle right away. 22 Do you know why the truck was 0. 23 unavailable to you? Were you given any kind of



24

an explanation as to why this truck is not

1	available to you to inspect?
2	A. NO.
3	Q. Now, there is, on Page 8 of 12
4	MR. WHITFIELD: Can you pull over to Page 8
5	of 12? Are you on 8 of 12?
6	MS. LIND: Yes.
7	MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. Tell you what, back up
8	one page, 7 of 12.
9	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
10	Q. So 7 of 12 gets us to 2018, which would
11	correspond to the other document that we've got
12	which indicated a sale. And all of the dates on
13	this particular page, Page 7 of 12 of CARFAX,
14	are 2018.
15	And then on Page 8 of 12, the top
16	number shows that the vehicle is serviced in
17	2019 at apparently a dealer or the dealer in
18	Conyers, Georgia, and then serviced again in May
19	and June and October and November of 2019 at the
20	well, actually, October the 3rd, 2019, the
21	registration was renewed for the vehicle.
22	November of 2019 is when the vehicle was
23	serviced again in Conyers at the dealer.
24	Then we move into '20. And this



1	particular event happened in February of 2020.
2	So we see that the first date that is on your
3	CARFAX on Page 8 after 11/6 of 2019 is 6/30/2020
4	where the motor vehicle was purchased and it was
5	reported to the Georgia authorities.
6	Do you see that at the bottom of that
7	page?
8	A. I do.
9	Q. And then in July, there's a title that
10	is issued or updated, I guess.
11	Would that imply to you that the
12	vehicle
13	MR. WHITFIELD: Are you on Page 8 of 12?
14	MS. LIND: Yes.
15	MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. So we've got 8 of 12
16	at the top.
17	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
18	Q. Do you see where it says, "Title issued
19	or updated"? Would that suggest to you that the
20	vehicle is now being retitled in July of 2020?
21	A. That seems to imply that.
22	Q. Okay. Well, I mean, when you look at
23	that, is that what you think as an expert?
24	A. That's how I interpret the CARFAX



1 report. So that vehicle is being 2 Okav. 0. 3 transferred to someone else other than the owner that had it previously. 4 5 would that be a fair assumption by this 6 CARFAX report? 7 Α. Yes. And then below that. July the 30th of 8 0. 9 2020, the document is showing that the vehicle was purchased in this report of CARFAX in July 10 11 of 2020. Do you see that? 12 13 I do. Α. All right. Now, the next item on this 14 Q. CARFAX report is August the 3rd of 2020, and 15 16 it's reporting a total loss of the vehicle. Do you know of any reason why this 17 18 vehicle would have been identified as a total loss in August of 2020? 19 20 I don't know anything about the history Α. 21 of the truck after the accident besides trying 22 to interpret this report. 23 So you read -- you read some



24

Q.

depositions, and you, I'm assuming, looked at

some of the pictures that were done by Brandon Teates at the scene and back at the MBI or the Biloxi facility that they took pictures of the vehicle off-site.

Are you aware of there being any other damage other than a defect -- it's called a defect. It's more a bullet hole in the hood and then three in the windshield. Are you aware of any other damage to the vehicle other than that?

- A. There's some minor damage to the back right corner when it contacted the mailbox.
  - Q. Sure. Okay.

In your experience, would you expect that to result in a total loss of the vehicle?

- A. I wouldn't think so. You know, it's possible that the bullet that went into the hood caused some engine issues, but I would be surprised if it was totaled. But it is an older vehicle. I'm not sure how much it would cost to repair everything.
  - Q. Sure. Okay.

I'm looking for some kind of an odometer reading on the vehicle at various time increments, you know, moving from wherever we



1	were. And I see in July at the very top
2	right there, in July of 2019 that the vehicle
3	had 135,000 miles on it.
4	Now, if we move to the next page where
5	we can pick up the next mileage, that would be
6	140, which corresponds to two of the other I
7	guess the thumbnail sketches on the other
8	document that we that we looked at where
9	there was 140 and then another 140.
10	Does that does that compare up
11	fairly well with the CARFAX, those that data?
12	A. So the EpicVIN referenced 140,000. I'm
13	not sure how EpicVIN or CARFAX gets the numbers,
14	but they both say 140. If we're trying to
15	figure out
16	Q. Sure.
17	A how many miles there were at the
18	time of the accident
19	Q. Yes.
20	A if you scroll back to November of
21	2019, there's a few a few months before the
22	accident.
23	And you see where it says 135,292?
24	Q. Right.

A. In my experience, that would be put in by that dealership. And the fact that there's not, you know, 140,000, you know, there's an actual 292 at the end implies that that's going to be the correct mileage.

So I would suspect at the time of the accident the truck's mileage to be a little bit more than 135,292.

Q. Okay. Fair enough. That sounds reasonable.

So I guess the working assumption would mean -- or would be that the last time that we actually had an odometer reading was about three months before this event.

So if we added, you know, 2,000 miles onto the vehicle in that three-month period, we'd be close, right?

- A. Yeah. I don't know his typical driving habits, but that sounds reasonable.
- Q. Well, you know, if you go from June to November on the CARFAX report, in June, he's identified -- it's identified that he drove 121, and then you go five months and he's at 135. So that's 14,000 in five months.



- Yeah, he's driving a lot. 1 Α. 2 So I'm just kind of estimating, based Q. on driving habits, a couple of thousands more on 3 top of 135. 4 would that be a reasonable assumption? 5 6 Yeah, I mean, that seems reasonable. If you go back to January, so you've got four 7 8 months --9 1/11? Q. 10 Α. Yeah, between the two, a little less. 11 You know, it's only 8,000 difference. So --12 Correct. Q. 13 -- I don't know what his typical driving would be, but it likely is going to be, 14 15 you know, at least a few thousand more than that 135,000 number. 16 17 Q. Okay. Fair enough. Fair enough. 18 Let's just -- I guess for purposes of 19
  - Let's just -- I guess for purposes of the deposition, let's just kind of, you and I, have an informal assumption that around the time of this incident, he had about 137,000 miles on the car -- or on the truck.
    - A. Sure, sounds fine.
    - Q. Would that be fair?



20

21

22

23

1	A. Sure.
2	Q. Okay. All right. I don't think
3	there's anything else on the well, actually
4	there is.
5	MR. WHITFIELD: Go to Page 9 of 12, top part.
6	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
7	Q. You see where it says, "Salvage
8	Title/Certificate issued" at the top on August
9	in August of 2020?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. So would that would that be
12	something that you would expect when a vehicle
13	had previously been declared a total loss if
14	you're going to sell the salvage or sell the
15	vehicle?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. There's also a total loss
18	reported in December of 2020, and then below
19	that, same day, another salvage title, and then
20	below that, same day, a rebuilt title issued.
21	Do you know what a rebuilt title is?
22	A. Generally, it's just a title to say
23	this vehicle has been rebuilt, it's not original
24	from the manufacturer.



```
at these CARFAX reports a heck of a lot more
 1
 2
     than I do, and would it be your expectation,
     knowing the history of this vehicle that was
 3
 4
     involved in an event that resulted in some -- a
     bullet hole in the hood and a bullet -- some
 5
     bullet holes in the windshield, that if you see
 6
 7
     a rebuilt title issued, would it suggest to
    you -- having reviewed these particular kinds of
8
     documents today and before, that that would mean
9
     that the vehicle was repaired to the extent that
10
11
     it needed to be repaired and then sold to
     somebody with original -- with the miles of 140
12
13
     and then with somebody apparently telling the
     purchaser that you got a vehicle that's been
14
     repaired?
15
         MS. RAVEENDRAN: Objection; calls for
16
17
     speculation.
18
              You can answer.
         THE WITNESS: So -- yeah, I'm just thinking
19
20
     there.
21
              So I'm not --
22
     BY MR. WHITFIELD:
              I'm not -- look, I'm not -- hey, John,
23
         Q.
24
     I'm not asking you to speculate, I'm asking you
```



1 to --No, I'm trying to clarify. I'm not --2 I don't know exactly what qualifies as a rebuilt 3 If it's -- I know, you know, when 4 vehicles are involved in accidents or there's 5 damage, if it's declared a total loss, they'll 6 issue a salvage title, a rebuilt title. They're 7 8 all kind of the same thing to me. 9 You know, I'm not sure what was involved, why this -- what was involved in this 10 11 rebuilt title, all the work that needed to be 12 done to the vehicle. I just don't know. 13 Okay. All right. Fair enough. Q. MR. WHITFIELD: Let's do this real quick: 14 15 why don't we -- I'm going to -- I need to arrange these so I can mark them. 16 17 Bhavani, can we take about five minutes 18 to refresh coffee and then use the restroom? 19 MS. RAVEENDRAN: Sure. Sounds good. 20 MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. Let's just -- let's 21 see if we can't, like, maybe take about five, 22 six minutes to get it done so we can get on -back on the record again. Okay? 23 24 MS. RAVEENDRAN: Yeah, no problem.



1 okay. Good. Thank you. MR. WHITFIELD: 2 Thanks. MS. RAVEENDRAN: 3 (Whereupon, a short break was 4 taken.) So while we were off the 5 MR. WHITFIELD: record, all counsel got together, and we decided 6 7 that we were going to identify certain documents, Exhibit 53 all the way up to 8 9 Exhibit 57. So they're now made a part of, I guess, our deposition record. 10 11 (Stamm Exhibit Nos. 53 through 12 57 marked.) 13 BY MR. WHITFIELD: One real quick thing while I'm thinking 14 Q. 15 about it. The truck that you got those eight or ten photographs that were part of the Epic, is 16 that -- are those the only pictures that you're 17 18 aware of of the truck that was not otherwise involved in this particular event that happened 19 20 on -- February of 2020? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Okav. So let me ask you this: From a 0. 23 standpoint of an expert, is it ever a suitable 24 alternative, at least from an expert standpoint,

engineering standpoint, to not have the vehicle				
available for a personal inspection for you? I				
mean, would that be the kind of the highest				
and best kind of the altruistic goal would be				
to inspect the vehicle that was involved in an				
event?				
A. I would say it depends on the case.				
For this matter, it wasn't necessary.				

- Q. Okay. So in this particular matter, you really didn't think that it -- that the vehicle itself needed to be personally physically inspected by you?
  - A. For my purposes, no.
- Q. Okay. By the way, you mentioned something a little while ago about the pictures that were taken. I think we were talking about the drone or something like that -- oh, that was on the list of things, the drone photos we talked about originally.

You mentioned that you had a pilot's license, and, you know, I want to make sure I'm not misunderstanding.

When you say "pilot's license," you're talking about to pilot the drone?



1	A. Correct.
2	Q. You don't have, like, a separate
3	pilot's license where you can fly yourself all
4	over the country, right?
5	A. No, I do not. I wish.
6	Q. Okay. Yeah, me too.
7	So whenever you are actually operating
8	the drone, or the UAV, I think is what you
9	called it, when you do that, you're considered a
10	pilot?
11	A. I think so, yeah.
12	Q. Okay. So whenever you use the drone,
13	do you have to secure clearance from the FAA
14	whenever you're operating that drone no matter
15	where you are, do you have to secure clearance
16	to do that like a like a permit?
17	A. So there's a GPS module on the drone.
18	So whenever you fly it, that GPS module is
19	basically broadcasts where the drone is.
20	So if you're near an airport, for
21	example, it won't let you fly. It also if
22	vou're out in the middle of nowhere. you can

example, it won't let you fly. It also -- if you're out in the middle of nowhere, you can pretty much fly wherever you want, but there's generally a height restriction of 400 feet. So



23

1	there's a whole there's a number of different
2	classifications for airspace.
3	Q. Okay.
4	A. There just to further answer your
5	question, there is a regional or some sort of
6	airport near the accident site that prevented me
7	from flying all the way to what was the main
8	street? Was that
9	Q. Railroad? Pass Road?
10	A. I think Oak.
11	Q. Oak? Right, okay.
12	A. Yeah.
13	Q. Oak.
14	A. Yeah. So I could fly pretty much to
15	208, 207, somewhere around there, and it just
16	won't let me fly any farther east because there
17	was basically, like, a runway.
18	Q. Well, if you look look on Page 3 of
19	your is that where you are?
20	A. I was on a different page, but I can go
21	to Page 3. Yeah, that's fine.
22	Q. Okay. So Page 3, where did you get

23 this picture?

24 A. See

A. See where it says "Google Maps" on the



bottom of the figure? 1 2 Okay. Q. 3 So this --Α. Oh, I see what you're -- I see what 4 Q. you're talking -- okay. Yeah, yeah, J got 5 6 that. 7 So this was a Google Maps photograph that you pulled up --8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. -- right? 11 So did you -- maybe I'm missing another 12 imagine. 13 So did you use your aerial photography as an inset in your report elsewhere? That's 14 15 the one that I remember. 16 Α. Yes, so let me --**17** What page? Q. 18 I'm looking for it right now. Α. 19 So on Page 23. 20 23? Okay. Q. 21 Figure 15. Α. 22 Okay. That's your -- that's an aerial Q. 23 view that you took? 24 On the day of my inspection, yes. Α.

1	And then Figure 16
2	Q. All right.
3	A is as well. You can actually see me
4	standing on the road on the upper left of
5	Figure 16.
6	Q. Oh, so that's you that's standing,
7	like, in the street?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. Okay. I see what you're saying.
10	So 210 210 is the address of
11	Stephanie.
12	So to your back is Oak Street, correct?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. Okay. You just couldn't go over Oak
15	Street with your drone?
16	A. Yeah, it would not let me go any closer
17	to Oak Street than approximately where this
18	image was taken. I could probably go another 50
19	or 100 feet.
20	Q. So Page 24 has got some other aerial
21	photographs, and then 25 has got two more.
22	So tell me this. Maybe I'm missing
23	something. You're certified to operate the
24	drone, and you're saying that there is some kind

of a GPS setting in your drone that won't let 1 2 your vehicle fly within a flight path of the airport? And, by the way, it's the 3 Gulfport-Biloxi International Airport. 4 5 Α. Okay. It's not a regional airport. 6 Q. 7 Well, that's --Α. And I know you didn't know that 8 0. 9 specifically because, you know, you almost have 10 to live here to know that. I mean, it's not 11 something, you know, you broadcast. But it used 12 to be known as a regional airport. 13 Is there a different rule for regional or local airports than there is for an 14 15 international? 16 Not particularly. So basically, I have 17 a license to fly it, but I can't fly it 18 anywhere. Right? I can't fly it onto the You know, that would be dangerous. 19 20 So the license allows me to fly it for, 21 I quess, commercial purposes. As a hobbyist, 22 you can have a drone and fly it not on the 23 airport, but in your backyard, as long as you're



24

not -- I guess it's not part of your work, it's

not part of your compensation. So that's what the license is for.

In addition, when I have the license, I can fly -- I can apply for special permits for certain airspaces that are only for licensed unmanned aerial pilots. But there's also areas that are -- no matter what, you can't fly anything in that area.

Q. Sure. Okay. Okay. All right. I was just curious about, you know, what kind of license you had to get to actually run the thing that close to an airport.

But what you're telling me is, is that you don't have to get clearance from, like, the FAA to operate the drone in the method that you did, right?

- A. Yeah, I'm trying to remember. I think I had to apply for -- to even fly where I flown -- where I flew, I had to apply for that, but I couldn't get any closer to Oak Street.
- Q. Okay. Okay. All right. Now, let me bring some closure then -- we went over the list, and we talked about your list of items to bring to the deposition. I'm not going to go



over all 20 of these things. I think there's. 1 2 like, 20 of them. Yeah, there's 20. You've seen the list, and I'm assuming 3 that you accumulated whatever it was that you 4 5 had pertaining to this case and gave it to the lawyer that hired you who, in turn, gave it --6 7 gave the materials to us. Okay? Now, No. 1 simply asks in a very kind 8 9 of legal way for your file. Now, we've talked 10 about all the different items that are in the 11 file that we got, and we made a list of them. 12 Is there anything that you did not 13 produce that you did in connection with your 14 task in this case? I produced all of my work product 15 related to my opinions, everything that I 16 17 generated. 18 Is there anything that you Q. Okav. 19 withheld at all --20 Α. No. 21 -- and if so, what? Q. 22 I produced everything. Α. 23 No? Okay. Q. 24 All right. So normally, you know, when

1	I'm dealing with an expert, I typically have an
2	e-mail or two between me and them.
3	Do you have any e-mails between you and
4	Counsel?
5	A. Yeah, we e-mailed, but I was, I guess,
6	advised by Counsel that
7	MS. RAVEENDRAN: So I'm going to advise you
8	not to repeat anything that you and I spoke
9	about. That would be considered confidential.
10	And, Bill, our position under the
11	federal rules is that we don't have to turn over
12	e-mails unless they're about financial
13	conversations, and he didn't have any of those.
14	MR. WHITFIELD: I'm sorry, what was that
15	again?
16	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Based on Rule 26 and its
17	protection of certain communications between
18	counsel and experts
19	MR. WHITFIELD: Sure.
20	MS. RAVEENDRAN: we didn't we advised
21	him to produce any communications that had to do
22	with the topics that are not considered
23	confidential. And he didn't have any financial
24	e-mails so he did not turn over any e-mails



1	MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. So I didn't ask him,
2	you know, what was it. I didn't ask him to
3	repeat to me anything that you said to him or he
4	said to you. I just asked him did he have any
5	e-mails.
6	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Oh, understood.
7	MR. WHITFIELD: That was my question.
8	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
9	Q. And, John, you know, don't tell me what
10	was in the e-mails. Because Bhavani's right,
11	the substance of those kinds of things unless
12	they factor into your opinion, the substance of
13	those things would be protected and not
14	discoverable.
15	So all my question is, is that do
16	you have e-mails between you and Counsel about
17	this case? That's it.
18	A. There are e-mails related to this case,
19	links to download, materials, things of that
20	nature.
21	Q. Okay. All right. That's fine.
22	Now, I think Bhavani said something
23	about financials. I know that you produced a

document that reflected your fee schedule. I'm

1	pretty sure I have that here somewhere.
2	Do you remember sending a fee schedule
3	to me? Yeah, here it is.
4	A. I think it was attached to my report.
5	Q. It's yeah. It's going to be in this
6	right here, yeah.
7	Do you see that fee schedule there?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. So all of these guys that are
10	listed below "Professional Services," would that
11	be the folks that are a member or an employee or
12	a partner in the group, Fusion Engineering?
13	A. Yeah, they're all employees or
14	adjuncts.
15	Q. Okay. All right. Well, in that list
16	of people is John Stamm, P.E. You're one of a
17	few engineers well, not more than there's
18	more than a few of you. But you're identified
19	as a P.E., and your fee is 275 per hour.
20	Do you see that?
21	A. I do.
22	Q. So one of the things that we had asked
23	you to produce that I don't remember us getting
24	was a conv of any fee hills that you generated

for your work in this case that may have been 1 2 submitted to Counsel. Is there any particular reason that you 3 didn't print that out and produce that? 4 I think the invoice was in there. 5 Α. 6 was it in the material? I don't Q. 7 remember seeing that. So if I missed it, I apologize. Let me look real quick to your 8 9 report. All I remembered seeing, John, was just 10 11 a copy of your fee schedule. I don't think I 12 got a copy of any fee invoice. 13 Did you --MS. RAVEENDRAN: Bill, it should --14 15 MR. WHITFIELD: Are you able to put your --16 It should have been included MS. RAVEENDRAN: 17 with the materials you got today. There was an 18 invoice in there. 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. it's a PDF. 20 MR. WHITFIELD: Oh, today. Okay. 21 thinking the things that we -- would have been 22 produced -- would have been attached to the 23 report. 24 So this was produced today?



1 MS. RAVEENDRAN: Yes. 2 Okay. All right. MR. WHITFIELD: 3 BY MR. WHITFIELD: 4 So who is -- I see your name down 0. 5 there. Who is C. Fleming? 6 Her name is Carmen Fleming. She was an 7 Α. 8 intern --Carmen Fleming? 9 Q. 10 Α. Yes. 11 So she's the intern that you were Q. 12 talking about? 13 So she is an intern. She -- let's see. 14 She did not summarize any deps. The intern I 15 was talking about is Kyle Spence. You can see his input on June 19th, and you can see that he 16 summarized McNair's and Ownes' depositions. 17 18 0. Okay. Okay. So Carmen Fleming, she's an intern, and you billed her out for review of 19 20 file material, discussion with Stamm? Do you 21 see that first entry up there, 8 -- 6/13 -- 6/2, 22 actually. 6/2 would have been June the 2nd. 23 Would that -- does that kind of jog 24 your memory in terms of when you were retained?

1	A. Yeah, as I said, early June, late May
2	is when I first reviewed any documents for the
3	case. So I'm not sure if I was retained a
4	little bit before then. You know, I didn't
5	necessarily work on it the day I was retained.
6	I just don't remember.
7	Q. Okay. All right. So Carmen Fleming is
8	showing up working on 6/13, so to review file
9	material and discuss the case with you.
10	And then you have file analysis on
11	6/13, 6/14, and then 6/15. That's when you
12	actually well, 6/14 is when you came down
13	here from Chicago. 6/15, you came to the site.
14	And then Carmen Fleming is doing more work.
15	Who is J.P. Wolfe?
16	A. He's an employee that helps with the
17	file assembly and also summarizes some
18	depositions.
19	Q. Okay. Fair enough.
20	All right. Jean is it Jean-Pierre
21	or Jean-Pierre (pronunciation clarification)?
22	How do you say the name?
23	A. Jean-Pierre. But he goes by J.P.
2.4	0 1 0 2

1	A. He's French Canadian.
2	Q. Okay. I understand.
3	And then who is T. Bundorf? Thomas
4	Bundorf?
5	A. Thomas Bundorf. He goes by Tom.
6	Q. Okay. And he doesn't have any kind of
7	neither does J.P., they don't have any
8	destination behind their name, like P.E. or
9	C.P.E. or anything like that.
10	Are they what are they just,
11	like, staff?
12	A. Yeah, I would say J.P. is staff. Tom
13	Bundorf doesn't have a P.E., but he's part of
14	the technical team. He's worked with us for a
15	long time, so
16	Q. Does he have an engineering degree?
17	A. I don't know if he has a full
18	engineering degree. I know he went to school
19	for a couple years. He does a lot of our for
20	many, many years, he's worked on the data that
21	we collect during site inspections. He's a
22	technologist, I guess would be the best way to
23	put him.
24	Q. So he would be a tech?

1	A. Sure, yes.
2	Q. Then so
3	MR. WHITFIELD: Go to the next page.
4	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
5	Q. And there's Tom Bundorf again, and then
6	I see you down there a bunch. And then
7	MR. WHITFIELD: Go to the next page.
8	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
9	Q. So to date, you have billed to the
10	plaintiff's group in this case \$31,000?
11	A. So I will just say that's what Fusion
12	Engineering has billed.
13	Q. Okay.
14	MR. WHITFIELD: All right. Back on the
15	30(b)(5) 30(b)(5) stuff.
16	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
17	Q. So we were talking about the 30(b)(5)
18	page on the deposition notice, which is Pages 2
19	and 3. I kind of took a little bit of a
20	diversion with the e-mail and then the bill and
21	things like that.
22	No. 1 specifically talks about
23	basically your file. You've indicated to me
24	that short of e-mails between you and Counsel,

1	short of e-mails, that you have produced your
2	entire file.
3	Would that be fair?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. Is there anything other than
6	e-mails that you have not produced?
7	A. Not that I can think of.
8	Q. Okay. Okay. We did make the
9	deposition notice Exhibit 51 52, so I can set
10	that aside.
11	Attached to your report, John, was your
12	CV.
13	Do you have that in front of you?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. I will say that as an engineer,
16	you have a an admirable career for such a
17	young man.
18	A. Thank you.
19	Q. So let's just pull the professional CV
20	or your resume kind of up.
21	Go down to "Examples of Areas of
22	Expertise." Do you see that?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. You have you have several things

1	listed down there, mobile elevating work
2	platforms and then so forth, all the way down to
3	mechanical testing. A lot of those things
4	obviously would be very beneficial to an
5	engineer who is focussing on accident
6	reconstruction no matter what it is.
7	I do note, though and I wanted to
8	get you to comment upon this. Are you a
9	ballistics expert?
10	A. I am not.
11	Q. Have you ever, ever in the context
12	of your work, ever had to examine an event that
13	involved ballistics?
14	A. I mean, obviously this one involved
15	ballistics, but I can't think of ever analyzing
16	ballistics.
17	Q. Well, you're not being called upon in
18	this particular case because of your expertise
19	in ballistics, are you?
20	A. I do not have an expertise in
21	ballistics.
22	Q. Okay. Well, ballistics in this
23	particular case and I'm talking about
24	relative to your opinion.



1	You weren't really hired in this case
2	to consider the ballistics feature of this
3	event, were you?
4	A. My understanding is, I was hired to
5	analyze the vehicle and the vehicle movement.
6	Q. Okay. Sure.
7	So the vehicle movement is what you
8	were hired to consider and give an opinion on in
9	the context of this case and not the ballistics
10	realm or that strata that involves
11	ballistics?
12	A. I think that's fair.
13	Q. Okay. Are you do you consider
14	yourself an expert in the field of law
15	enforcement or law enforcement practices?
16	A. I do not.
17	Q. You weren't hired in this particular
18	case, were you, to comment upon those things
19	that would be practices and procedures and what
20	is or what is not constitutional from a
21	use-of-force continuum? You weren't hired to
22	comment upon those, were you?
23	A. I was not.
24	Q. Okay. So as far as law enforcement is

1	concerned, that's not you? That's not you're
2	not that expert, right?
3	A. That's not me.
4	Q. Okay. You're I mentioned a minute
5	ago that you're a young man, and I don't know
6	how far back in time you go.
7	Do you remember a time when you could
8	actually work on your car without having to
9	navigate around electronic ignition?
10	A. Without can you say that again?
11	Q. All right. So do you ever remember a
12	time that you, as a vehicle owner, could work on
13	your car without having to deal with the
14	frustration of electronic ignition and different
15	computer products under your hood?
16	A. NO.
17	Q. Okay. Here's the reason that I'm
18	asking. Have you ever actually other than
19	changing have you ever changed your own oil?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. How long has it been since you changed
22	your own oil?
23	A. Couple years ago, my wife's Honda CR-V.



Q.

24

Okay. Okay. So you changed the oil in

1	your wife's car.
2	Before then, how long ago had it been
3	that you changed oil in a car that you owned?
4	A. I think I did that a couple times in
5	her vehicle. Besides that, I think that's it.
6	Q. Okay. All right. Have you ever had to
7	change out the transmission fluid in the
8	transmission of any of your vehicles? Have you
9	ever done it before?
10	A. NO.
11	Q. Have you ever had to bleed off a
12	vehicle's air lines and then, I guess,
13	reconstitute it fill it with brake fluid?
14	You ever had to do that?
15	A. When you say "air lines," do you mean
16	brake lines?
17	Q. Your brake lines.
18	A. Yeah.
19	Q. Your brake lines.
20	A. Yeah, I've bled off brake lines.
21	Q. Okay. Well, how long has it been since
22	you did that?
23	A. Probably within the last year. I did a
24	brake job on the same vehicle.

1	Q. The Honda?
2	A. Yeah.
3	Q. Your wife's Honda? Okay. All right.
4	How about when's the last time you
5	changed a tire?
6	A. A couple months ago.
7	Q. Your wife's car?
8	A. So, I guess, I don't yeah, taken
9	I don't remount and balance. I'll do that at
10	the shop. But I've rotated tires on my car and
11	my wife's car.
12	Q. Do you have a shop that you can pull
13	your car into and lift it up and rotate tires?
14	A. Yeah, we have a lift at our company.
15	Q. Okay. You have a lift, and you take
16	advantage of that lift on your personal
17	vehicles?
18	A. Sometimes if it's
19	Q. Handy to have.
20	A. If it's open.
21	Q. How about any I'm sorry?
22	A. I said if it's open, the lift.
23	Q. It's what?
24	A. A lot of times the lift there's a

1	vehicle for a project or someone else is using
2	it. So it's not like it's always available, is
3	my point.
4	Q. Understood. I got it.
5	So you're only privileged to use it
6	personally when it's not being used
7	professionally?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Okay. All right. How about adding
10	coolant to the cooling system of your vehicle,
11	ever done that before?
12	A. I don't think I've ever done that.
13	Q. Okay. All right. Let me ask you maybe
14	even a more involved question.
15	Do you know what is involved in taking
16	off a carburetor off of an intake manifold?
17	A. I mean, I can look it up and do it, but
18	it's nothing I've done before.
19	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with rebuilding
20	a carburetor? Have you ever done that before,
21	rebuilt a carburetor?
22	A. I have cleaned out the carburetor on a
23	little scooter I have, but not on a vehicle.
24	Q. Yeah, let's talk about a vehicle, okay,



1	as oppose	d to, you know, scooters, lawn mower,
2	mini bike	engines, things like that.
3		Have you ever rebuilt a carburetor on a
4	sure enou	gh passenger vehicle?
5	Α.	I have not.
6	Q.	Have you ever had to replace an intake
7	manifold?	
8	Α.	I have not.
9	Q.	Have you ever had to do any work on the
10	heads of	a vehicle? In this particular case, it
11	would hav	e been a V-8.
12		Have you ever had to work on the head
13	of a vehi	cle like in this particular car, a 2014
14	GMC Sierr	a?
15	Α.	No.
16	Q.	Okay. All right. Have you ever
17	actually	had to rebuild an engine? No?
18	Α.	No.
19	Q.	Okay. Have you ever had to remove and
20	replace a	ny of the drivetrain of a vehicle?
21	Α.	I think maybe an output shaft going to
22	one of th	e wheels.
23	Q.	Output shaft on one of the wheels?
24	Α.	Leading to one of the wheels.

Leading to one of the wheels? 1 Q. 2 Yeah. Α. Well -- so you have the 3 Okav. Q. transmission and then you've got the apparatus 4 5 that connects the transmission up to the 6 differential and then out to the tires. 7 Yeah. Α. That's normally the drivetrain. 8 0. 9 Like, have you ever done any work on that particular --10 11 Well, that's what I'm --Α. 12 -- system, like the drivetrain system? Q. 13 That's what I'm saying. Not my vehicles, but projects we've worked on. You 14 15 know, we've taken off wheels. We've taken off the steering knuckle, look at the linkages. You 16 know, there's a shaft going into the wheel, 17 18 that's what I'm calling the output shaft. That actually turns and powers the wheel. You know, 19 20 I've taken those off for sometimes demonstrative 21 purposes and just to explain how the systems 22 But on my personal vehicles, I've never 23 had a need to.



0.

24

Or something that would not have been

related to your professional involvement; is that what you're telling me?

- A. Yeah, I've never worked on one of my vehicles -- none of them have ever needed that.
- Q. Well -- so here's one of the things that I'm trying to maybe understand. You're giving an opinion in this particular case, and as I look and appreciate your opinion, at the very, very end, your opinion section is about a page long. I mean, if you use the substance on one page and put it over on the second page, the opinion section is about a page.

One of the things that I noted in your opinion was, you know, something to do with the speed of the -- the movement of the vehicle during an idle.

would that -- do you remember dealing
with that in one form or fashion?

- A. So I measure the tractive force of the truck with the engine idling.
  - Q. Right.
- A. And I utilized that for some calculations in the report, if that's what you're referring to.



1 Q. Sure, yeah.

Anyway, you mention something that I think you produced a paper on. It's called the rolling resistance coefficient.

Remember that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Have you ever had to use that particular kind of formulaic algorithm before in any of your cases as an expert?
- A. Yes, so rolling resistance coefficient is a, you know, pretty well-known, you know, resistive force. But as far as the calculations, they're kinematic calculations that are utilized in pretty much every vehicle reconstruction.
- Q. So rolling resistance coefficient; is that what you're saying?
- A. That is -- yeah, that coefficient correlates to a force that I utilize for the kinematic equations.
- Q. Well, let me ask you this: Just from the standpoint of your opinion -- we do know that the vehicle did come to a stop and the engine was on, and there was no foot, at least



after the accident, on the brake. 1 2 We do know that it came to a stop and it was idling, correct? 3 4 Α. I agree. 5 We do know that point when the vehicle Q. itself was put into drive, do we not, or at 6 7 least that distance? Can you repeat the question? 8 Α. 9 Well, if you remember some of Sure. 0. the deposition testimony, even Officer Cuevas's 10 11 testimony, Mr. Parker was backing out of the 12 grass, I think, at Ms. Baldwin's house, and he 13 struck a mailbox at least on the right quarter 14 panel, the rear quarter panel of his vehicle, 15 right? 16 Correct. Α. 17 Q. Right? 18 Correct. Α. Yeah, you're skipping a little bit. 19 0. 20 quess it's your voice. 21 So you agree, then, that when the 22 vehicle first was moving, it moved out of the 23 driveway in reverse until it struck the mailbox, right?



1 A. I agree.

- Q. Okay. And then apparently, Mr. Parker put the vehicle in drive to make it move forward.
  - A. Yes, I agree.
  - Q. Correct?
  - A. I agree.
- Q. Okay. Now -- now, you went out there, and as I remember, you tried to maybe reenact in some form or fashion, the movement of the vehicle, but you were operating a -- as I remember, you were operating a Ford truck, weren't you?
  - A. Ford F-150.
- So I wasn't trying to recreate the accident. I was just demonstrating the general path taken and the steering wheel inputs required.
- Q. Well, wouldn't the steering wheel and the steering that would be required to at least pull away from the mailbox and then ultimately to end up where this vehicle ended up, wouldn't that be determined by virtue of the wheelbase of the vehicle, or no?



A. That would affect it as well as, you know, the turning radius.

But, once again, if you look at my opinions, I'm not quantifying how much right-hand turn or how much left-hand turn. I'm just stating that when you pull away from the mailbox, you're going to have to put in a right-hand turn, you're going to go up at an angle, and if you keep holding that right-hand turn, you're going to be no longer parallel east, you're going to be going southeast at a diagonal towards the grass. And then to get back parallel to the grass, you have to put it in a left-hand turn. And that was what I was trying to demonstrate.

- Q. But you weren't suggesting, were you, by doing that, that that was the path that Mr. Parker took on the morning of February the 1st of 2020 with you in an F-150 and him in a 2014 GMC Sierra, were you?
- A. It's going to be the general path. We know where the truck was when the right rear corner near the taillight impacted the mailbox, and we know where the truck was -- where it



ended up. To get to those two points, you have 1 2 to take that general path. I'm not saying the path I took is 3 exactly the same, but that's the general path 4 5 that you have to take. It's the general path that you would 6 Q. 7 have to take given the vehicle that you were 8 in --9 No. it doesn't matter --Α. 10 Q. -- to take that general path, right? 11 It doesn't matter. If you have a Α. 12 couple of extra feet of wheelbase, you still 13 have to put in a right-hand turn and then a left-hand turn to get parallel -- you know, 14 15 right wheels into the grass and parallel to the road in any vehicle. 16 17 Q. Understood. Okay. I understand what 18 you're saying. 19 Wouldn't the angle of your vehicle 20 coming out back into the -- to straighten up in 21 the street, wouldn't it matter as to what your 22 angle would be when you hit the mailbox? Do you know what angle Mr. Parker was 23



24

in when he hit the mailbox? Did he come

1	straight out of the yard, or did he come out of
2	the yard and attempt to angulate himself in the
3	street so that it would make him getting into
4	the street straightaway more easier? Do you
5	know what angle he was when he hit the mailbox?
6	A. To a certain extent. So if you look at
7	let me see here. Trying to find the best
8	So we know where the damage is, you
9	know, above the taillight.
10	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Can you tell him where
11	you're looking?
12	THE WITNESS: Yeah, sorry. On Page 10,
13	Figure 10. This is my report.
14	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
<b>1</b> 5	Q. I see the damage to the vehicle. But
16	what I'm kind of curious about is, if you look
17	at Page 28 of your report
18	A. Yeah, so I'm trying to tie it together.
19	So that digital model of a truck is the GMC with
20	the same dimensions. And it shows, on Page 28,
21	Figure 22, the mailbox contacting right above
22	the taillight. And it's backed up straight from
23	Points 15, 16, which are tire marks documented
24	by the police.

1	So this is pretty close to the angle of
2	impact. It might be slightly different if you
3	put any steering wheel input as he was backing
4	up from when he left the yard and when he
5	impacted the mailbox. But it's going to be
6	pretty close to it.
7	Q. Okay. Pretty close.
8	But you're not suggesting that his
9	juxtaposition in the street is as you have
10	depicted it on Page 28?
11	A. There's no way to determine if this is
12	exactly correct, if it's angled 5 degrees one
13	way or the other. It's going to be close to
14	this, though.
<b>1</b> 5	Q. Okay. All right. When you say there's
16	no way to determine it, it's because we don't
17	really have, like, a video of the event,
18	correct?
19	A. We do not have a video. I just have to
20	go off of the measurements made by the police
21	and my inspection measurements.

- And the physical damage that's depicted Q. in the pictures?
  - Correct, to the truck and the mailbox,



23

which indicate it was knocked backwards.

Q. Right. Right.

well, the mailbox damaged the right rear quarter panel of the truck, correct?

A. So the mailbox contacted the -- you know, back above the taillight, and then it looked like there were some scrape marks likely from this accident as well along the side.

So, you know, after -- it's a dynamic event. After it's being knocked backwards and the truck's likely continuing to back up, that's where you get the scraping on the side.

- Q. Right. But there's nothing about the scrape marks on the right rear quarter panel indicated on Page 10 that would give you a better idea about what angle Mr. Parker was when he impacted it?
- A. Well, you've got to combine all the evidence. Right? You have the mark above the taillight. You also have the scrape marks along the side. You have the disturbed ground -- I'm trying to find where that is -- behind the mailbox post that indicates it was knocked backwards, so that would be the right side of



1 | Figure 3 on Page 4.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And then you also have the tire marks noted by the police, which I don't know if I have an image in the report. But on the page that you pulled up, Page 28, that is marked 15 and 16, which was documented --

- Q. Sure.
- A. -- by the police with a total station after the accident.
- Q. Right. Well, here's my point -- and
  I'm kind of -- wanting to kind of get on with --
  - A. Sure.
  - Q. -- with your testimony on that.

There really isn't any way that you can specifically state at what angle Mr. Parker hit that mailbox, but you've said that you're close?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Right?

Well, when you took the Ford out there, did you back up to the mailbox in such a way that you could recreate the angle that his truck would have taken to get from the mailbox over to the area where it stopped?

A. Not the exact angle because I don't

know. But the general path, yes.

- Q. Okay. The general path. Okay.
- Now, if I appreciate the conclusions of your report, John, you're -- look at Page 31, please.
  - A. I'm there.

- Q. Okay. So on Page 31, you give five opinions, and then two of them are on the second page. So you give a total of seven opinions.
- No. 1 is probably just a product of your measurement from the mailbox to the place where the vehicle came to rest, correct?
- A. That -- so that, you know, straight line measurement. And I also looked at the VBOX data to see, you know, that arced path. Because obviously, the -- as you pull out and make your right-hand turn and then pull over, that's not straight lines. That's going to add a couple feet.
- Q. Do you know whether the Ford F-150 that you were operating the day that you inspected the scene, or the site, that its turning radius was the same as the 2014 GMC that Parker was driving?



_	
1	A. They're not exactly the same. I think
2	I stated what they were in the report. I can
3	pull those numbers if you want.
4	Q. I think you did pull those numbers.
5	Because on one of the documents that you
6	produced, I think it did have a turning radius,
7	I thought.
8	A. Yeah, so on Page 2 of my report, it
9	says the turning radius of the subject truck,
10	which based on the make and model, is 23.6 feet,
11	and then the turning radius of the F-150 is 20.4
12	feet. So a couple feet less, which makes sense
13	because it's not a crew cab.
14	Q. The Ford was not a crew cab?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. But the GMC was a crew cab?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. So the Ford had a tighter turning
19	radius than the GMC?
20	A. Slightly.
21	Q. Okay. So 23.6 feet, you're attributing
22	that on Page 2 of your report to the GMC, 23.6
23	feet.
24	Is that the number of feet that it

1	would take to come out of a right angle and then
2	straighten up? Tell me how you how that's
3	calculated.
4	A. So that's not something I calculated.
5	That's a book value published likely from the
6	manufacturer. But it's if you pulled in
7	full, you know, wheel lock turn, that's going to
8	be the radius of the turn without the wheel
9	slipping.
10	Q. I mean, do you have to literally take
11	your vehicle in a 180?
12	A. I'm sure I'm sure it's tested
13	physically. I mean, they could also do it with,
14	you know, the models nowadays, but
<b>1</b> 5	Q. Yeah. Well, I mean, I'm just trying to
16	learn from you. I mean, when you put in your
17	report that a wheelbase of 143.5 inches, a
18	turning radius of 23.6 feet, I mean, I'm
19	assuming you understand what's represented by
20	that number, and I'm just asking you to teach me
21	what that means.
22	I mean, is that the number of feet that

I mean, is that the number of feet that it would take for the vehicle to do a 180 --

A. No --



23

- Q. -- and a full turn?
- A. No, radius -- if you think of a circle, from the center of the circle to the edge of the circle is a radius. Diameter is all the way across the circle.
- Q. So if you -- if you put your vehicle in a hard turn and did a circle with your vehicle --
  - A. Yes.

- Q. -- if you measured from one end of the circle to the other side of the circle, I guess that would be, what, circumference, I guess? Is that what it's called, circumference? It's not radius.
  - A. The diameter is twice the radius.
- Q. Right, right. So you would measure from the most extreme edge of the circle to the opposite circle.

In your report, you're intending to represent that value is 23.6 inches?

A. So when they define a turning radius,
I'm not sure if that's the actual radius or
they're referring, like we would, you know, in
engineering terms or if that's just a colloquial



1	term for the diameter. But it's one or the
2	other. It's not going to be the circumference.
3	Q. Well well, I mean, if you were to
4	if you were if I were to get in my truck and
5	drive my truck in a full circle so that I came
6	right back to the point of beginning, that
7	measurement across that circle, in this
8	particular instance, for a 2014 GMC Sierra,
9	would be 23.6, right, feet?
10	MS. RAVEENDRAN: I'm
11	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
12	Q across the circle?
13	MS. RAVEENDRAN: I'm going to object to
14	misstating. But I think we're just confusing
15	the words "circumference," "radius," and
16	"diameter."
17	MR. WHITFIELD: Look, diameter I'll use
18	any word you want me to. I'm just trying to
19	understand in laymen's terms what 23.6 turning
20	radius means.
21	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
22	Q. If I were to put my vehicle in a
23	complete turn and come around to the point of
24	heginning hasically creating a circle on the

- planet, you know, in whatever parking lot I'm in 1 2 at Walmart. from one end -- one side of the 3 circle to the most extreme other side of the 4 circle would be 23.6 feet, right? 5 I think that's what the turning radius Α. 6 It could be twice that. I'm not sure if 7 turning radius is the way that engineers think 8 of radius, which is half the diameter, halfway 9 across, or all the way down. I would need to look it up. 10 11 Okay. I was just curious, John, as to 0. 12 what you intended to tell me when you said a 13 turning radius of 23.6 feet. 14 I intended --Α. what are you intending to tell me by **15** Q. 16 that? 17 These are vehicle specs. I didn't do Α. 18
  - any --
    - Okay. Q.
  - -- calculations based off of that Α. turning rate. This is general vehicle specs. similar to the wheelbase, which is going to be the rear axle to the front axle.
    - And, look, I don't want to get into the **Q.**



20

21

22

23

weeds with you, but when you tell me turning radius of 23.6 -- that's your wording in your report.

When you tell me a turning radius of 23.6 feet, is that the car in a full circle turn and the width from one extreme end of the circle to the other? That's all I'm asking.

- A. And I'm telling you, that's either that or it's going to be twice that. I'd need to look up how they report that. It's nothing that was reported -- or had no bearing on any of my opinions in this case.
- Q. Well, okay. So that's -- see, that's a problem for me because the turning radius of his vehicle, Mr. Parker's vehicle, is going to be different than the turning radius of a Ford F-150, and in order to come off of that mailbox, you're going to implicate the turning radius of those two vehicles, correct?
- A. The F-150 is a shorter wheelbase, a shorter turning radius relatively, and so it's going to be able to take a tighter turn, if that's what you're trying to get to.
  - Q. Right. So when you took the F-150 out



1	there and attempted to recreate to the extent
2	you that could, you really were using a more
3	efficient vehicle in a turn than Mr. Parker did
4	in his vehicle. You could get a tighter turn
5	out of it, couldn't you?
6	A. The vehicle can get a tighter turn.
7	Once again, I was not trying to replicate the
8	exact vehicle movements, just the general path
9	and the steering wheel inputs.
10	Q. Okay. All right. All right. Well,
11	look, so let's do this. Your second opinion on
12	Page 31 of your report are you with me? Do
13	you see it?
14	A. I am there.
15	Q. You there?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. "So while driving forward from
18	the stopped position near the 213 25th Street
19	mailbox, Mr. Parker must have turned the
20	steering wheel to the right and then to the left
21	for the vehicle to reach the point of rest
22	while oriented approximately parallel with the
2 2	road "



The turning radius, even though it may

1	not be but just a few feet, there would be a
2	difference in his ability to get the car back
3	into the road again straight and then off road,
4	I guess, parallel with where he ultimately ended
5	up coming to rest, right?
6	A. So this opinion is true regardless of
7	the turning radius.
8	Q. I understand.
9	You're simply saying there that he
10	turned he hit the mailbox, he came off the
11	mailbox, took a right-hand turn, and then
12	ultimately ended up half off the roadway and
13	half on the roadway, right?
14	A. That's his path, yes.
15	Q. Okay. So other than saying that,
16	that's really about the only that's the only
17	opinion that you're attempting to communicate to
18	me in Item 2, right?
19	A. I'm stating how a vehicle can get
20	parallel to the road at the point of rest from
21	where it contacted the mailbox. You can't just
22	put in a right-hand turn and get to that
23	nost-accident location. You're going to end up



at an angle diagonal to the road.

1	Q. I understand that.
2	He came off the mailbox, turned until
3	ultimately, he came up where the vehicle rested
4	half on and half off, right?
5	A. And you need to put in a left-hand turn
6	towards the end to get parallel to the road with
7	the right-side wheels in the grass.
8	Q. All right. And I'm fine with that.
9	That's what you're attempting to
10	communicate to me at Item 2?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. All right. Good.
13	Item 3 by the way, do you know of
14	anybody that is disputing your observation at
15	Item 2?
16	A. I guess I haven't seen anyone dispute
17	that, but I didn't see anyone point it out
18	either.
19	Q. Okay. So as far as the facts are
20	concerned, that's just a factual conclusion that
21	you're making, that the vehicle came off of
22	Mr. Parker's vehicle came off of the mailbox,
23	took a right-hand turn, and then did a left-hand
24	turn or left-hand veer until he came to rest

1	half on and half off the roadway, right?
2	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Objection to form.
3	THE WITNESS: So that's
4	MR. WHITFIELD: Whoa, whoa. What's the form
5	objection stop, stop.
6	What's the form objection, Bhavani?
7	What am I saying wrong? I'm just trying to get
8	it right.
9	MS. RAVEENDRAN: You're mischaracterizing his
10	earlier testimony by saying this is a fact
11	conclusion when it's an expert opinion he's
12	giving in the case. Also, it's vague because
13	you're referencing things, you know, just in
14	generalities on the question.
15	So, you know, if you want to ask him if
16	that's his opinion, I have no objection to that
17	whatsoever, but asking him if that is merely a
18	fact opinion, you know, I don't think that's a
19	fair question.
20	MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. Well, that's my
21	question.
22	Now I'd like for you to answer that
23	question, if you can.
24	MS. RAVEENDRAN: You can answer.



THE WITNESS: Sure. To the best I can remember the question, this is the path -- you know, it's based on my -- me being down there, surveying it, understanding the dimensions, the geometry, and how the vehicles work. That's my opinion.

BY MR. WHITFIELD:

Q. Okay. Let's go to 3, your opinion at 3. I'm going to ask you some questions about 2 and 3.

But 3, "The physical evidence is consistent with Mr. Parker pulling over and traveling straight and approximately parallel to the road prior to coming to a stop and not consistent with a vehicle that only had a right steering wheel input after pulling forward from the mailbox."

Do you know of anybody that has said that Mr. Parker, once he pulled off the mailbox, continued in a right-hand turn and not right and then left, ultimately ending up half on and half off the road? Do you know of anybody that's contending what you're saying is not consistent in that opinion?

- A. It's my interpretation of
  Officer Cuevas's version of the events, is that
  the vehicle was veering towards the south side
  of the road. And so that would be an
  inconsistency.
- Q. Not -- so you're saying it's not consistent with a vehicle that only had a right steering wheel input after pulling forward from the mailbox; you're saying that if this vehicle came off the mailbox and was traveling toward him until he got off the road, there's something inconsistent with Cuevas's rendition of that fact?
- A. My understanding of Cuevas's testimony is that the vehicle was not traveling east but veering southeast towards the south side of the road, and that's when he shot the vehicle and the vehicle came to a stop. So I think that is inconsistent with the vehicle level -- you know, turning a left-hand turn from the driver, parallel to the road, and traveling forward for some distance.
- Q. Okay. All right. So you believe -- so what is the basis of that opinion? What's --



1	are you saying that the basis of your opinion
2	is, is that you read Jason Cuevas's depo, and
3	because the vehicle is apparently straightaway
4	half on and half off, the vehicle when it came
5	to rest, that there was something wrong with
6	Officer Cuevas's rendition of the fact? What
7	are you saying by that? Why are you saying
8	that's even a thing?
9	A. Well, I think I stated already what I
10	believe Officer Cuevas's testimony is. But if
11	you look at, to point it out in the report,
12	Figure 7 where the red arrow is on Page
13	Q. Page what?
14	A. Page 7, Figure 7.
15	Q. Page 7, Figure 7? Okay.
16	A. Yeah, so that red arrow is pointing to
17	matted-down grass.
18	Q. Okay.
19	A. You can also kind of see it on the
20	bottom right corner, so it would be the right
21	rear wheel of Figure 8.
22	Q. Okay.
23	A. It's a little tougher to see the

matted-down grass there, but there as well.



So that's my evidence that the truck was traveling forward for some period of time and not at an angle directed towards the south side of the road. And that seemed to be inconsistent with Officer Cuevas's testimony, and so that's why I put that in the opinion.

- Q. Okay. So we know where the vehicle came to rest, right? We know that. We've got plenty of pictures of that, don't we --
  - A. We know.

Q. -- right?

And we know that the vehicle came off of the mailbox and had to at least straighten up into the roadway? We know that, don't we?

A. We don't know how long it straightened out in the roadway because it's -- at first, it's going north, and then it's at an angle and it's changing. So it's possible that, you know, it never straightened out, that it was -- just started going back -- you know, kept the right-hand turn. So it went right past directly east and it was facing southeast, and then continued that path to get to the grass, and then putting the left --

Q.	Okay	
Ų.	UKay	

- A. So just based on getting from the mailbox to the point of rest, you know, I can't say how long or if at all he was traveling directly east.
- Q. Well, do you know at what angle the truck entered the grass, even though its tires seemed to be straight? Do you know at what angle Mr. Parker entered the grass in his vehicle?
  - A. I don't know the exact angle, no.
- Q. Okay. Well -- so you don't have any evidence to suggest that Mr. Parker's vehicle came onto the grass at an angle and then straightened up after it finally stopped?
- A. No, it had to come at an angle and then straighten up based on where it was at the mailbox and the short distance it traveled.
- Q. How is that inconsistent with the testimony of Officer Cuevas?
- A. Because he said when he shot the truck, the truck was at an angle coming towards him on the south side of the road, and the truck stopped. He didn't say that the truck continued



- Case 1:21-cv-00217-HSO-BWR Document 237-2 1 and -- left steering wheel input and the truck 2 levelled out parallel to the road. Oh, okay. So your quibble, then, is 3 Q. over your interpretation of what Officer Cuevas 4 said at the time that he shot and the vehicle 5 ultimately came to a rest? 6 7 Basically. Α. Okav. All right. Well. you don't know 8 0. 9 -- do you know where the vehicle was on the roadway and what angle it was when the first 10 11 shot was made to the vehicle? 12 I mean. I don't know if -- it's Α. 13 possible that the first shot was made after the 14
  - truck was already stopped.
  - Well, it's possible, but it's possible 0. that it was not, correct?
  - Α. I state those two possibilities Yes. in my report.
  - 0. Well -- so -- but what I'm asking you. though -- we're not really allowed to do any possibilities under Mississippi law. We are only allowed -- and certainly, probabilities makes admissible evidence.
    - Is it probable or only possible that



16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

you don't know -- let me ask you this -- sorry, bad question.

You don't know where the angle of the vehicle was when the first shot was made, do you, in the roadway?

- A. Based on all the evidence and Officer Cuevas's testimony that he made -- I think he used rapid or quick shots, the truck was already pulled over when those shots were taken. I can't say if the truck was stopped or not.
- Q. You can't say whether the truck was in motion or not when the first shot, second shot, third shot, or fourth shot, if that's what the proof shows, was made in relationship to when the vehicle was stopped? You can't -- you don't have any ability to tell me that, do you?
- A. I don't have any physical evidence to tell you if the truck was still moving forward, you know, parallel to the road, the right wheels in the grass, left wheels on the roadway when the four shots were made.
- Q. Okay. And let me make sure I understand what you just said.



1	Relative to the shooting itself, the
2	actual firing of the weapon, you don't know
3	whether the vehicle was in motion or not when
4	that happened, do you, by the physical evidence?
5	A. By the physical evidence, I can't say
6	whether the vehicle was in motion or not.
7	Q. Okay. When the firing of the weapon
8	occurred?
9	A. Correct. I said there's two I know
10	you don't like the word "possibilities," but I
11	state the two possibilities in my report.
12	Q. Well, we're not here's what we're
13	ultimately going to have to grapple with. I
14	will object at trial to any testimony that you
15	give that is a possibility only. I will
16	probably be overruled if the judge hears the
17	word "probability" come out of your mouth.
18	You're not able to say that it's
19	probable that the vehicle was or was not in
20	motion when the shots occurred, are you?
21	A. So if we're just talking about the
22	physical evidence, I can't say one's more likely
23	than the other.
24	When you consider all the witness



testimony, you know, that might be a different 1 2 story. Well, that's -- that's -- you're 3 Q. listening to witnesses' testimony that certainly 4 most. if not all, of them had been drinking. 5 Did you know that? 6 7 I'm aware. Α. Did you know what the toxicology was 8 0. 9 for Mr. Parker? 10 I do not recall that. Α. 11 You haven't seen that, have you? 0. 12 I might have saw it, but it's not Α. 13 really relevant to --14 I understand. Well, I mean, since Q. we're talking about things outside the physical 15 evidence, I'm just trying to maybe understand 16 17 what it is that you reviewed and what you didn't 18 review. Okay? The physical evidence doesn't suggest 19 20 that you can tell, as a professional engineer, 21 when the shots were fired relative to the 22 movement of the vehicle? You can't say that, 23 can you?

Α.

24

I can say that the vehicle was either

stopped or already pulled over and it pretty much stopped immediately. Everyone -- there's no testimony that the vehicle traveled a great distance after the shots were fired.

path taken by the truck. I've got my contact with the mailbox, I've got my point of rest, I've got my tire marks, matted-down grass. It says for at least some distance, the truck was parallel to the road. I know that to execute that general maneuver, you need the right input; once you get off the road, you've got to input left to pull over. I know all those things.

But I can't say one way or the other if the truck was absolutely stopped, based on the physical evidence, or not when the shots were fired. But in order for the vehicle to stop there where it did stop, it either had to be traveling at a very low rate of speed or the brake had to be applied.

- Q. Okay. And you don't know either way, do you?
- A. Those are the two possibilities -- two options. How about that?



1	Q. Well sir?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Two what?
4	A. Two options.
5	Q. Two options. Okay.
6	Okay. So do you know what the
7	reaction, if any, that Mr. Parker had relative
8	to the brake when he was shot?
9	A. I have no way of knowing that.
10	Q. Correct. Well, you mention in your
11	report that you don't you don't know whether
12	the service brake was applied. In fact, on
13	Page 30 of your report, you gave different
14	scenarios about traveling and about, you know,
15	speed and things like that, but you qualified
16	every single sentence by saying that you didn't
17	know whether the service brake was applied or
18	not, right?
19	Look at Page 30 of your report. Look
20	at Page 30 of your report, sir.
21	A. I'm there. Can you point to the
22	sentence you're referring to?
23	Q. I'm trying to do that. Look at Page 30



of your report.

- A. I am.
- 2 Q. 30.

- 3 | A. I'm on it.
  - Q. Okay. Page 30 of your report, if you look down about midway, you'll see a sentence that begins that, "If the vehicle's average RRC was .035 . . . and it was traveling at 5 miles per hour, it would continue to roll for approximately 6.5 seconds and 24 feet before stopping if the service brake was not applied."
    - A. That's true.
  - Q. So you're taking -- you're essentially recognizing an unknown that you don't know whether the service brake was applied or not, correct?
  - A. I think I'm very clear with that. It's -- there's two options.
  - Q. And I don't have a -- I'm not quibbling about that. I'm just trying to get you to recognize that at four different spots -- actually, five -- five different spots in that paragraph, you acknowledge that you don't know whether the service brake was applied.
    - A. So this paragraph is just talking about



if you're going at 5 or 10 miles an hour, how
far you would expect the vehicle to continue to
roll before it came to a stop. It's not going
to come to an immediate stop without the service
brake being applied.

- Q. And that's the point of my question. You don't know that?
- A. I don't know if the service brake was applied or not. What I'm saying is, if he was going 5 miles an hour or 10 miles an hour, he would have kept going further, you know, right before -- during the shooting, he would have rolled well past where the vehicle was found after the accident.
- Q. Well, wouldn't that tell you, then, that the service brake had to have been applied?
- A. That or that he was traveling very slow, a couple miles an hour.
  - Q. Okay. So it's one or the other?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. But I count at one, two, three, four -- six, six spots in that paragraph where you've acknowledged that you don't know whether the service brake was applied depending upon the



speed of the vehicle and where the vehicle came to rest.

- A. So each time that's mentioned in that paragraph, that's just emphasizing how far the vehicle will roll without the service brake being applied. These are true just due to that environment. It has nothing to do with him applying the brake or not applying the brake. These are calculations based on the rolling resistance at the scene.
- Q. Yeah, but as it pertains, though, to this accident, you really can't say how fast the vehicle was -- how fast it was going before the shooting or at the very end of the shooting because you don't know whether the service brake was applied or not by Mr. Parker? You don't know that?
- A. It's possible that he applied the service brake.
- Q. Okay. Well, the vehicle had to come to a stop somehow, didn't it?
- A. I agree. It was either traveling at a very low speed or he applied the service brake.

  But that doesn't mean that it was traveling at a



- 1 fast speed. You could -- the service brake 2 could stop at a whole number of speeds. Well, that's a good point. The service 3 Q. 4 brake being applied can stop that vehicle at a 5 number of speeds, correct? 6 Α. Correct. 7 Okay. And you don't know whether the Q. service brake was applied or not, correct? 8 9 You know, there's -- are we just talking about the physical evidence or --10 11 That's a yes-or-no answer, sir. 0. 12 Are you talking about the physical 13 evidence or the witness testimony? I'm talking about your understanding of 14 Q. 15 physics and engineering. If that vehicle was traveling 5 miles 16 an hour, 2 miles an hour, 10 miles an hour 17 18 before that vehicle came to a stop given the distance from the mailbox, the service brake had 19 20 to be applied? 21 Just the physics for when the area 22 where the truck had to get into the grass, if it
  - where the truck had to get into the grass, if it was going 5 or 10 miles an hour, it would have rolled past where it came to a rest.



1	Q. Unless the service brake was applied?
2	A. Absolutely. Right. If it's going
3	slower, then it could stop.
4	Q. Okay. If it was going slower, it could
5	stop; if it was going faster, then it would
6	require the service brake to be applied,
7	correct?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Go over to Page 31 back to 31.
10	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Bill
11	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
12	Q. On Item No. 4
13	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Bill
14	MR. WHITFIELD: Ma'am?
15	MS. RAVEENDRAN: I was going to ask, we don't
16	need to stop right this second or anything, but
17	when there's a good stopping point, if we could
18	take a break, that would be appreciated.
19	MR. WHITFIELD: I'll tell you what, if you'll
20	give me, like, ten minutes ten more minutes,
21	I'm probably about done. If we can do that.
22	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Yeah. No, that's fine.
23	THE WITNESS: It's good with me.
24	MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. I'm not I'm kind of

1	close to the end.
2	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
3	Q. No. 4, 25th Street is approximately
4	flat, nobody's taking issue with that, right, as
5	far as you know?
6	A. As far as I know. But, you know, I
7	didn't know what other people would say, so
8	that's just a
9	Q. Okay.
10	A fact based on what I analyzed down
11	at the scene.
12	Q. Well, I guess I'm just I'm trying to
13	figure out why that would be a subject of an
14	opinion or a conclusion, the terrain. I mean,
15	it's certainly an observation that I have no
16	problem with. I'm just kind of scratching my
17	head as to why that would be
18	A. Well, let me tell you.
19	Q part of your opinion.
20	A. Let me tell you. Because when I'm
21	calculating how far the vehicle is going to
22	roll, one of the things I have to consider, is
23	there an incline or not. So that is
24	Q. Right.

1	A why it's in there.
2	Q. Well, I'm glad you mentioned that.
3	So an incline has everything to do with
4	the ability of a vehicle to roll in either
5	one way or the other. If it's if it's an
6	uphill or an incline, the vehicle's not going to
7	roll very efficiently, if at all, and if it's a
8	downhill incline, then the vehicle will roll
9	more efficiently, correct?
10	A. It has a it'll roll, you know,
11	higher so the incline is a force retarding
12	the rolling versus
13	Q. Right.
14	A the decline is going to help
15	accelerate the vehicle.
16	Q. Sure.
17	Let me ask you this just from the
18	standpoint of weight: If there were a baby
19	grand piano in the back of the bed of this
20	pickup truck, would that vehicle have been more
21	inclined to roll at all with that kind of weight

in the back of the pickup --

MS. RAVEENDRAN:

22

23

24

Objection to form.

BY MR. WHITFIELD:

- Q. -- if there's no acceleration?
- A. So as I state in the report, the rolling resistance coefficient is multiplied by the normal force on each wheel. So the heavier the vehicle, the more tractive force from the engine to the drivetrain to the wheels required to get the vehicle to roll forward.
  - Q. Correct.

Are you familiar with tuning an engine?

Are you familiar with tuning up an engine?

- A. Sure.
- Q. Have you ever tuned an engine before?
- A. I have not personally tuned an engine.
- Q. Do you know -- do you know what kind of manufacturers' recommendations there would be for an RPM of a vehicle like this when it's idling? Do you know what the RPM spec is or the recommendation is?
- A. It depends on the vehicle. But I will tell you that the two exemplars I looked at, they both were in good shape -- well, the one in Chicago was in better shape, but they both idle at 500 RPM. So all three of the vehicles idle



at 500 RPM.

- Q. Wouldn't the vehicle idling at 500 either way depend upon the condition of the vehicle and the drivetrain?
- A. I mean, the idle set is based on a number of sensors and configuration of the vehicle. But, once again, the exemplars I looked at, they idled at 500 as well.
  - Q. I got it. But that's not my question.

My question is: Wouldn't the ability of the car to move forward at 500 RPMs depend upon the efficiency of the drivetrain, including the transmission, driveshaft, differential, all the way out to the rear wheels?

- A. What do you mean by "efficiency"? Is something wrong with the drivetrain?
- Q. Well, if you've got -- well, if you've got a wore-out transmission, is that vehicle going to move at 500 RPMs versus a brand-new vehicle off the lot at 500 RPMs?
- A. There might be a difference, but I have no evidence that there was anything wrong with this truck.
  - Q. Okay. But you don't know that there



1	wasn't anything wrong with the transmission
2	either, do you? You don't know that, do you?
3	A. I didn't see anything in the literature
4	I downloaded, and I know he drove there from
5	some distance.
6	Q. The this vehicle had 137 I think
7	we agreed that it was 130, 138, maybe 140
8	140,000 miles on it, roughly, at the time of
9	this incident.
10	Is it your opinion that that
11	transmission that was in that truck was
12	off-the-lot efficient?
13	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Objection; calls for
14	speculation.
15	THE WITNESS: The exemplar
16	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
17	Q. Well and that's the point. That's
18	the point. You're speculating as to whether the
19	transmission was as efficient then as it was off
20	the lot. You're speculating, aren't you?
21	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Objection; form, misstating
22	testimony.
23	THE WITNESS: The exemplar I looked at, I
24	think, had even more miles. I don't know the

exact condition of the truck and --1 2 BY MR. WHITFIELD: I thought the -- I thought the exemplar 3 Q. that you looked at only had, like, 114 on it. 4 Maybe I'm -- maybe I'm misremembering that. 5 6 Α. No. 7 The one that you looked at up in Q. Chicago? 8 9 Yeah, you can -- let's pull that up. I'm not sure how many miles -- I know it was 10 11 over 100 for sure. 12 Oh, I know it was over a 100. But it 0. 13 was 114, 115, wasn't it? I think I made that an 14 exhibit. I'll need to find that. 15 MR. WHITFIELD: I'll tell you what, Bhavani, let's go ahead and take a break because I need 16 17 to find that, and then we will come back to this 18 topic. 19 MS. RAVEENDRAN: Okay. How long do you need, 20 Bill? 21 MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. We'll come back to 22 this -- ma'am? 23 MS. RAVEENDRAN: How long do you need? When 24 do you want to come back?

1	MR. WHITFIELD: Let's take about two to three
2	minutes, four minutes maybe. Because I need to
3	find that document.
4	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
5	Q. You're talking about that document,
6	John, that you went to Car World or whatever up
7	in Chicago?
8	A. Yeah, the exemplar I did the force
9	testing on. Yeah, there was over 100,000. I
10	don't remember the exact mileage on it.
11	Q. Well, okay. Let's just do this: Let's
12	just let the record speak for itself. Whatever
13	it is, it is. Okay? I can't find it on the
14	stuff that I introduced. Let's just let's
15	let that alone.
16	But I guess here's my point and I
17	want to kind to get to I'm trying to get
18	done. Okay? And if you would kind of be fair
19	with me and give me, you know, a right answer.
20	I mean, are you're not telling me
21	and certainly not telling the Court or a jury
22	that a vehicle off the lot with a transmission,
23	like, in a 2014 Sierra was just as efficient in

transferring power out to the wheels as a

vehicle that had 135- to 140,000 miles on it 1 2 already? You're not trying to say that, are 3 you? 4 Without -- I can't say how close No. 5 it would be, but I would be -- I'd be shocked if 6 it didn't roll forward. You know, I would be 7 surprised if there was any significant difference unless there was something 8 9 significantly wrong with that vehicle. Okay. Well, the vehicle itself was 10 Q. 11 half on and half on roadway -- half off, half on 12 the roadway, and according to you, was idling at 13 500 RPMs and was not moving. Would that suggest to you that a --14 that the transmission itself was as efficient as 15 it was off the lot? 16 You keep saying "off the lot." 17 Α. 18 You don't know, do you? Q. 19 You keep saying --Α. 20 Or brand new? Q. 21 Can I finish? Α. 22 Sure, you can. Q. 23 A truck -- 2014 truck in 2020 is not Α. 24 going to be exactly the same as it is eight



1	voors loter or how many voors loter
1	years later, or how many years later,
2	regardless, with that many miles. It's still
3	going to propel itself forward unless there's
4	something significantly wrong. And there's no
5	evidence of anything significantly wrong with
6	the transmission or anything with the truck.
7	Q. But okay. And I appreciate that.
8	But you have no evidence that there wasn't
9	anything wrong with this tran with this
10	vehicle, do you? You have no evidence that
11	there were problems with the vehicle, problems
12	with the transmission, problems with the engine?
13	You have no evidence of that at all, do you?
14	A. I know he's driving a lot of miles in
15	the truck based on the CARFAX.
16	Q. Yep, that's a fact.
17	And the CARFAX shows it's in the shop a
18	lot, too, doesn't it?
19	A. well, let's pull it up. was it oil
20	change? I don't remember why it was in the
21	shop. I mean, could be
22	Q. I don't know either, to be honest with
23	you. I don't know that there's any identity of
24	the work that's done. But, I mean, you can see



1	that it's
2	A. Sometimes they
3	Q in the shop.
4	A. Sometimes they state the work that was
5	done in the CARFAX. Sometimes they don't.
6	Q. Yeah, well, okay. But the fact of the
7	matter is, is that as we sit here today, you
8	don't know whether the car was in good shape or
9	the car was in bad shape or the car was in
10	medium shape? You don't know that, do you?
11	A. I can't state the condition of the car
12	other than I know it was in a drivable
13	condition.
14	Q. Drivable condition. Okay.
15	But nonetheless, it did come to a stop,
16	even though it was in gear and putting out 500
17	RPMs?
18	A. Which is consistent with the exemplars
19	I looked at.
20	Q. Is that a yes?
21	A. I said, which is consistent with the
22	exemplar trucks I looked at, the 500 RPMs, which
23	you seem to indicate is an issue.
24	Q. Did you get in the exemplar the 2014



GMC Sierra exemplar, did you get into that car 1 and go park it on the side of the road somewhere 2 where you had the right drive wheel and the 3 front right steer wheel off the roadway, put it 4 in drive, and get out of it? Did you do that? 5 6 Α. I did not. 7 Look at your -- the last page of your Q. report, 32. You say, "Under the scenario where 8 9 the vehicle was brought to an immediate stop after the shooting, Mr. Parker must have applied 10 11 his service brake." Now, I guess you're giving me an 12 opinion or a conclusion, and I'm kind of 13 wondering where we may very well disagree by you 14 giving that conclusion. I think that the car 15 came to a stop after the shooting, just like 16 17 you. 18 That's --Α. But -- but you say he must have applied 19 0. 20 the service brake. Do -- I don't disagree with 21 that. Why would you disagree with that? 22 Objection; misstating the MS. RAVEENDRAN:

MR. WHITFIELD: Well, let me read it.



report.

23

1	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
2	Q. "Under the scenario where the vehicle
3	was brought to an immediate stop after the
4	shooting" three shots or four shots
5	"Mr. Parker must have applied his service
6	brake."
7	Is that your opinion?
8	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Objecting because you're not
9	reading the report
10	THE WITNESS: So, once again
11	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
12	Q. Whoa, whoa, stop, stop. Read your
13	report. Look at Page 32 of your report. You
14	tell me if I misspoke one word in that opinion.
15	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Bill, you added "three shots
16	or four shots." That was my only objection.
17	You otherwise read it correctly.
18	MR. WHITFIELD: Oh, okay.
19	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
20	Q. Well, it says, "after the shooting."
21	Do you know how many shots were fired,
22	Mr. Stamm?
23	A. So some of the police reports indicate
24	there was three; some, there was four. I think

1	it's more consistent with four shots.
2	Q. Okay.
3	A. But to answer your question, there's
4	two scenarios I was calling them two
5	possibilities earlier or two options.
6	So if the truck's brought to an
7	immediate stop where it's rocking back and
8	forth, like Cuevas testified to, he had to apply
9	the service brake. Otherwise, it would have
10	kept on rolling forward, depending on the speed,
11	of the distances I talk about in the report.
12	Q. Okay. I'm good with that.
13	You go on to say at Paragraph 6, "It is
14	unknown if Mr. Parker could have applied the
15	service brake after being shot at and hit."
16	You don't know that, do you?
17	A. That's why I wrote it's unknown.
18	Q. So we so you don't know whether
19	Mr. Parker applied the service brake after being
20	shot, do you?
21	A. That's what I stated, right? I agree.
22	Q. Okay. I would be happy with yes,
23	that's what you stated. Because I think we
24	agree on that.

1 Now, you go on to Paragraph 7, and you 2 say, "Under the scenario where the truck was already stopped prior to the shooting and the 3 service brake was not applied, Mr. Parker must 4 5 have been traveling at a slow rate of speed and coasted to a stop solely due to rolling 6 7 resistance force of the vehicle." So you're presenting that as a 8 9 scenario; you're really -- you're not suggesting to me, as a reader, that you know that, correct? 10 Correct. I can't say which scenario 11 Α. 12 happened based on the physical evidence. 13 Sure. Okay. Q. You go on to say, "Alternatively, 14 15 Mr. Parker could have utilized the service brake to stop his vehicle prior to the shooting, 16 17 consistent with the witnesses that testified 18 that they saw his brake lights." Right? You say that? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 So you're adopting their particular 0. 22 version of the test- -- of facts based upon them seeing brake lights being applied, which would 23



24

indicate that Mr. Parker actually did put his

## foot on the brakes, right?

A. In this scenario, I'm talking about, yeah, the truck being stopped. And I'm just pointing out that there's two ways to stop the truck prior to the shooting. It's either traveling very slow or applying the brake prior to the shooting.

And I was pointing out that some of the witnesses testified that they saw brake lights, and that's consistent.

- Q. Well, other than the witnesses' testimony, if you took them out of the equation, you wouldn't have anything scientific to rely upon to verify that particular account one way or the other, would you?
- A. Just the consistent with witnesses that testified they saw his brake lights. You know, that's the only thing that relates to the witness testimony.
- Q. Well, if he put his foot on the brake, the brake lights would come on, wouldn't they?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Regardless of whether the shooting occurred before, during, or after the brake



lights were applied.

You don't have anything that you can look at scientifically and say, yep, they're right, they're wrong, do you?

- A. I guess I'm a little confused by the question.
  - Q. So yeah, I -- I saw that in your eyes.

So if you take the witnesses' testimony out of the equation, you don't have anything scientific that would suggest that Mr. Parker put his foot on the brake either before, during, or after the shooting?

- A. Just the brake? No, I can't say.
- Q. Okay. And you can't say whether the vehicle stopped before the shooting or after the shooting? You can't say that other than the witnesses saying that?
- A. Right. The physical evidence says he was either traveling very slow or he applied the service brake.
- Q. Right. And if he applied the service brake, then he would have been going a little bit more than very slow, correct?
  - A. Not necessarily.



1	Q. You don't know, do you?
2	A. I can't say. There's no evidence one
3	way
4	Q. Okay. No evidence for that.
5	So you're having to basically kind of
6	fill in the blanks a little bit with some of the
7	witnesses that we know most, if not all of them,
8	had been drinking, right?
9	A. When you say
10	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Objection to form.
11	THE WITNESS: "some of the witnesses," I
12	just said that last sentence or half sentence
13	in Opinion 7 to saying that's consistent. So
14	there's no fill in the blanks. It's just
15	stating that is consistent.
16	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
17	Q. Well, the fill in the blanks basically
18	are, I think, by your you're implying that
19	the vehicle came to a stop before the shooting,
20	and you can't get there unless you believe the
21	witnesses that were in the same household that
22	Parker and Markray were in?
23	A. I mean, I think I've testified a number
24	of times here that based on the physical

evidence, I can't say if it was stopped or not. 1 2 Let me real quickly run through Q. Okay. these photographs with you that I had that I've 3 4 sent to the court reporter. 5 MR. WHITFIELD: Ms. Court Reporter, I'm 6 probably going to have to pull these things up 7 one at a time. But I just want to get you to kind of 8 9 acknowledge these with me, John. Okay? 10 Ms. Court Reporter, you can print these 11 They're all the pictures that I've sent to out. 12 you. 13 MS. RAVEENDRAN: We have them printed --14 Ma'am? MR. WHITFIELD: 15 MS. RAVEENDRAN: We have them printed, Bill. 16 MR. WHITFIELD: Oh, good. Okay. 17 Okay. So we can attach them to the deposition. It looks like it's going to be Exhibit 58. Am I 18 19 right? 20 THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. 21 MR. WHITFIELD: Okay, 58. So Exhibit 58, all 22 right. 23 (Stamm Exhibit No. 58 marked.) 24 MS. RAVEENDRAN: And that -- do you want to

1	just verify for us, Bill, what is the bottom
2	right Bates number of the first picture so we
3	make sure we have got the same thing in front of
4	us?
5	MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. The first number on
6	the first page is MBI CSU Garage Photos 075.
7	THE WITNESS: That's what's in front of me.
8	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
9	Q. Okay. It should be a bottle of beer.
10	A. That's what I see.
11	Q. Do you see that?
12	A. I do.
13	Q. That's it. Okay.
14	So do you remember seeing this picture
15	in looking at the other MBI pictures that you
16	were provided?
17	A. Yeah, it looks familiar.
18	Q. Okay. So this particular bottle came
19	out of Mr. Parker's truck.
20	Are you aware of that?
21	A. I assume so.
22	Q. Okay. You indicated a minute ago that
23	you weren't aware of the tox screen, so you
24	don't know exactly what level of intoxication

1	Mr. Parker was in at the time of the shooting,
2	do you?
3	A. I don't remember the number.
4	Q. Okay. Would the level of sobriety of a
5	driver, would that would that have an effect
6	on the ability of the driver himself to function
7	to operate a vehicle properly?
8	A. Just my general knowledge, you know, I
9	would assume so, but I don't have specific
10	training on intoxication driving.
11	Q. Would an impaired driver be able to
12	operate a vehicle as efficiently as a
13	non-impaired vehicle a non-impaired person?
14	Maybe you can answer that question for me.
15	A. I mean, potentially. I don't know
16	what
17	Q. Potentially?
18	A. What do you mean by "efficiently"?
19	Q. I'm talking about if I went out sober
20	as a judge and operated my vehicle drove my
21	vehicle home, which would take me 40 miles to
22	get there, am I going am I going to operate
23	my vehicle just as efficiently and properly as I
24	would had I had had I had enough alcohol to



1	put me twice the level of intoxication in the
2	state of Mississippi?
3	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Objection; calls for
4	speculation.
5	THE WITNESS: I don't know I don't know
6	how the alcohol affected his driving.
7	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
8	Q. Okay. All right. So do you know how
9	alcohol affects anybody's driving?
10	A. Generally negatively.
11	Q. Generally negatively.
12	Would that would your knowledge of
13	the sobriety of Mr. Parker, would that affect
14	your opinions in any form or fashion about his
15	operation of the vehicle and maybe his relative
16	ability to apply the brake if you knew if you
17	knew the state of his sobriety?
18	A. So the question is: Can he apply the
19	brake based on the state of his sobriety?
20	Q. No, that's not my question. That's not
21	my question.
22	My question is: If you knew the level
23	of intoxication of Mr. Parker at the time all
24	this happened, would that affect his operation

1	of his vehicle
2	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Objection
3	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
4	Q and your opinions?
5	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Objection; calls for
6	speculation.
7	THE WITNESS: So I know he wasn't sober, I
8	just don't remember what the number was. And it
9	didn't affect my opinions about the physical
10	evidence and
11	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
12	Q. But the what is what is the blood
13	alcohol content like, the maximum level that
14	you can be, you know, tested for in Illinois if
15	you're intoxicated? Do you know what it is?
16	A. I think it's .08.
17	Q. Yeah, that's what it is in Mississippi.
18	If he if I told you that Mr. Parker
19	was .18, do you understand what that means?
20	A. Yes, more alcohol.
21	Q. Well, he's twice he's twice the
22	legal limit of being intoxicated in the state of
23	Mississippi.
24	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Objection; calls for

1 speculation, foundation. 2 So apparently, we haven't MR. WHITFIELD: shared the tox screen with you, Bhavani, so I 3 4 don't know that's speculative. 5 No, he did that --MS. RAVEENDRAN: 6 BY MR. WHITFIELD: 7 I mean, do you think --Q. 8 MS. RAVEENDRAN: Bill, he got the tox screen, 9 but you're asking him for a medical opinion. MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. So he's giving an 10 11 opinion about somebody operating a vehicle. I 12 would think that somebody that gives an opinion 13 as an expert in the operation of a vehicle would 14 understand the implications of somebody that was over twice the limit of -- legal limit of 15 intoxication in my state and your state. 16 17 MS. RAVEENDRAN: He's giving you opinions 18 within his expertise of engineering. He can't give you opinions on human factors evidence or 19 20 how a body reacts to alcohol. He has tested the 21 vehicle under the different --22 MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. Well --23 MS. RAVEENDRAN: -- scenarios presented by 24 witness testimony. He's not allowed to decide



1 which witness is correct. 2 I understand that. MR. WHITFIELD: But vou 3 know what? Maybe next year when he gets a case that's involved and he's opposite of somebody 4 5 that was intoxicated, then Mr. Defense Lawyer's going to be pulling this deposition out. 6 7 BY MR. WHITFIELD: Do you not understand, Mr. Stamm, the 8 0. 9 impact of alcohol and intoxication upon the ability of a driver to properly operate a 10 11 vehicle? Do you not understand that? 12 Objection; calls for MS. RAVEENDRAN: 13 opinions outside of his expertise. But you can answer the question. 14 15 THE WITNESS: I think I said earlier it's generally negatively, but I have no idea how 16 17 much it affected him. 18 BY MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. Okay. That's fine. I'm okay 19 0. 20 with it. 21 MR. WHITFIELD: Go to the next one, please, 22 Kaara. MS. RAVEENDRAN: You've got the next one, 23 24 right?



1	MR. WHITFIELD: Hang on. There we go.
2	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
3	Q. So we're do you see that picture up
4	there, John? It's a picture of the Sierra
5	vehicle with the bullet holes in it.
6	Do you see that?
7	A. Yeah, 76. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. Yes, it's Picture No. 76.
9	You know, the only reason that I have
10	this picture that I wanted to show you, as well
11	as the next one and the next one after that, all
12	the way to the end of this line of pictures,
13	which shows the impact of the ammunition onto
14	the vehicle
15	MR. WHITFIELD: If you would, Kaara, can you
16	just scroll through until you get to the end
17	of
18	MS. LIND: I can't do it with that exhibit.
19	MR. WHITFIELD: Oh, you can't just, like,
20	walk them through? Okay.
21	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
22	Q. Yeah, this is this the next pic,
23	which is 77. And I just have one question to
24	ask you about about ten of these, okay, at

1 one time. As she pulls them up, just take note of 2 the image that you're looking at when she pulls 3 4 them up one at a time. That's No. 77. 5 6 No. 78 is the hood. That's what we 7 call the defect, the defect... 8 That would be 79. 9 (Brief discussion off the 10 record.) 11 Picture 84. That's MR. WHITFIELD: 12 Picture 80 there. 13 Then Picture 81 would be next. 14 And then Picture 84 would be last at 15 least in that series of pictures. 16 BY MR. WHITFIELD: As you're looking at those, John, 17 Q. 18 here's my question to you: There is nothing about the impact damage to the windshield or 19 20 even to the hood that would suggest to you if 21 the vehicle was moving at the time that the 22 damage was done? There's nothing about that 23 that would suggest that to you, is there? 24 So there's -- you know, based on the Α.



1	location of the defects
2	Q. Yeah.
3	A. Yeah, I'm not a ballistics expert. I
4	know Investigator Teates testified that he
5	couldn't determine the trajectory. So, you
6	know, it doesn't really mean a lot to me.
7	Q. Well so I'm not talking about
8	trajectory as much as I'm talking about you're
9	you've testified that you really aren't
10	saying that the vehicle was moving or not at the
11	time that the impact of the vehicle vis-a-vis
12	the bullets occurred. Okay?
13	And you don't know that, right?
14	A. Correct.
<b>1</b> 5	Q. So all these pictures were designed to
16	do would be to get you to acknowledge that or to
17	show me if you could tell by let's just take
18	84.
19	There's nothing about that impact to
20	the windshield which says that that vehicle was
21	moving at the time that that impact was made?
22	A. Nothing that I can tell. I mean,
23	nothing that I know of.
24	Q. Okay. All right. And that's fine.

That's okay. 1 So let's then -- we can kind of put 2 3 that series of pictures aside. 4 The next picture that I want to show you is Picture No. 68. This is scene pictures 5 now that I'm going to show you. 6 These are 7 on-scene pictures that night. 8 THE WITNESS: Do you want these? 9 BY MR. WHITFIELD: Do you have that in front of you? 10 Q. 11 There you go. 12 I do. Α. 13 There's the picture of the vehicle that Q. 14 And you can see that it's kind of half 15 on, half off the road. Do you see that? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 All right. Now, you testified a minute Q. ago that you weren't really able to tell when 19 20 the tires actually went off the road and at what 21 I think you -- you did testify to that a 22 little while ago, right? I don't want to assume 23 something.

A. I could give, like, an area. But



1	exactly where and exactly what angle, I can't
2	say.
3	Q. Correct.
4	Did you did you ever make any
5	assumptions about how close the vehicle was to
6	the bushes?
7	A. There's a couple photos that shows
8	that, so
9	Q. Look at Picture No. 69, please.
10	A. Yep.
11	Q. So you see that picture and even 70,
12	69 and 70.
13	Both of those pictures show the vehicle
14	off the road at least on that street, on 25th
15	Avenue, enough to be into the bushes, correct?
16	A. I would disagree with "into the
17	bushes." It may have
18	Q. Well, in the bushes.
19	Well, the vehicle is in contact with
20	the foliage on the side of the roadway, isn't
21	it?
22	A. So it's kind of hard to tell in that
23	photo if those bushes are behind the taillight
24	or not I think there's other photos that show

you know, those bushes may --1 2 We'll get to those. 0. So when you're driving -- when you're 3 driving down a roadway or -- like on 25th 4 5 Avenue, I mean, is it normal for somebody to drive halfway -- halfway on and halfway off the 6 7 road, street? Is it normal for somebody to drive halfway on and halfway on [sic] the 8 9 street? Just anywhere? Like, I'm a little 10 Α. 11 confused by the question. This obviously wasn't 12 a normal situation. Well, I mean, the vehicle had to have 13 Q. gotten off the road somehow, assuming it 14 15 happened before the shooting, you know, like you're adopting some of the witnesses' 16 testimony. 17 18 Is that an -- is that an appropriate spot for the vehicle to be if the vehicle is 19 20 operating and using the street properly? 21 MS. RAVEENDRAN: Objecting to form. 22 THE WITNESS: You keep saying "adopting" some of the witnesses' testimony. Yeah, this is 23 24 where it ended up. It made a maneuver where it

1	had a right-hand turn, it was arced, and then
2	diagonal towards the south side of the road, and
3	it's, like, a pull-over maneuver is what it
4	looks like to me.
5	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
6	Q. Okay. Well, the vehicle, at least by
7	virtue of Photograph 69 I mean, you agree
8	with me that the vehicle is halfway on and
9	halfway off the road, right?
10	A. The right-side tires are in the grass.
11	Q. Are in the grass. Okay.
12	Is that normal for a vehicle to be
13	driving attempting to navigate a roadway if
14	that vehicle is halfway on and halfway off the
15	street?
16	A. If he's there's nothing else going
17	on and you're just driving down the road,
18	typically vehicles stay on the road.
19	Q. Right. So something was going on for
20	that vehicle to be halfway on and halfway off
21	the road when this vehicle came to a stop in
22	gear, correct? Something was going on?
23	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Objection to form.
24	THE WITNESS: I mean, the vehicle pulled

1	over.
2	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
3	Q. Okay. Look at Picture No. 78, please.
4	78, it's the next picture.
5	A. Oh, I was looking at 140.
6	MR. WHITFIELD: Well, there should be that
7	picture right there.
8	MS. LIND: 70.
9	MR. WHITFIELD: Oh, yeah. 70 was before
10	that.
11	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
12	Q. Then Picture 78 at the bottom.
13	Do you see that, John?
14	A. I do see 78.
<b>15</b>	Q. Do you have that in front of you?
16	A. In front of me and on the screen.
17	Q. Okay. Good.
18	So do you would you agree with me
19	when you read the testimony of Officer Cuevas,
20	that that's the view of the vehicle that he had
21	at or prior to the shooting?
22	A. I don't know where Officer Cuevas was
23	standing.
24	Q. Look at the next picture, 140 and then

- 141. 140 and 141. Those are pictures that were taken at night after the incident.
  - A. Okay.

- Q. So one of the reasons that I wanted to talk to you about this picture was this -- is that if you look at the running lights on the vehicle -- now, it's in gear and it's basically rotating at 500 RPMs, that the right side running lights are both illuminated, but the left side running lights are -- only one set is illuminated.
  - Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, whenever somebody is operating a vehicle and they put their brakes on, do you -- would you expect for another light to come on on the left-hand side if there was a dysfunction in the light itself?
  - A. Can you state that again?
- Q. Well, I'm trying to figure out your position, I guess, one, on the maintenance; and then, two, what the witnesses say they would have seen.
  - The left-side running light is



1	partially dysfunctional, correct?
2	A. Yeah, it's the the left light's not
3	on.
4	Q. The lower the lower grid is out,
5	right?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Do you know whether the brake lights on
8	this vehicle were completely all the way
9	functional on the back of this vehicle?
10	A. I never tested the vehicle. I don't
11	recall if that was part of the investigation
12	report when they looked at the vehicle or not.
13	I don't recall if that was established or not.
14	Q. Okay. Look at 20 201 and 202.
15	Those should be the next few pictures.
16	A. Yep, I see 201 right now.
17	Q. And then 20 201 and then 202.
18	MR. WHITFIELD: Kaara, can you pull up 202?
19	And then yeah, you have all these in
20	front of you.
21	And then pull up pull up 203 or look
22	at 203.
23	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
24	Q. So you can see a little bit better of a



1	view in the daytime about the bushes and how
2	close they were to the truck, can't you?
3	A. Well, I think 202 is better than 203.
4	Q. It is, it is.
5	A. Yeah.
6	Q. But you can see in the daytime the
7	truck relative to the bushes better than you can
8	at night than the night pictures, right?
9	A. Arguably. I think you can see where
10	the bush is at at night as well.
11	Q. Well, you can see the bushes at night,
12	but relative to the truck, especially if you're
13	in front of the truck and you've got high beams
14	on or light beams on in your face, it's a little
15	difficult to see where the bushes are relative
16	to the truck, correct?
17	A. High beams? What do you mean the "high
18	beams"?
19	Q. Well, I showed you a picture a minute
20	ago about the view that Officer
21	A. Cuevas.
22	Q Cuevas had in front of the vehicle,
23	yeah.

A. Sure.

1	Q. Those beams.
2	A. So a person outside of the truck, yeah,
3	it's probably easier to see the bush during the
4	day. Yeah, a bush or
5	Q. Say that again.
6	A. For a person outside of the truck, it's
7	probably easier to see the bush, tree, branch,
8	whatever is in there, during the day.
9	Q. During the day?
10	A. I would think so. Daylight.
11	Q. So you can see the bush the bushes
12	better during the day than at night, right? Is
13	that what you're saying?
14	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Objection; asked and
15	answered.
16	THE WITNESS: Yeah, unless
17	MR. WHITFIELD: I'm just trying to figure out
18	what he just said.
19	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
20	Q. I mean, was it easier for an officer on
21	the front of the vehicle to see the bushes
22	relative to the truck better in the daytime or
23	the nighttime?
24	A Okay So you're adding some context

From Officer Cuevas's general area, it 1 there. was probably easier -- well, he wasn't there 2 3 during the daytime, but it would be easier to see the bush during the daytime versus if he had 4 headlights pointed in his direction -- general 5 direction. 6 7 Okay. Q. 8 Especially if the truck is where it is Α. right now, which is past the bush. 9 10 Understood. Q. Sure. 11 Then go to 213 and 214. And the reason I wanted to show you 213 12 and 214 -- and I promise, I'm about done -- was, 13 you can see in the daytime the dash a lot easier 14 than you can in some of these night pictures. 15 There were a couple of night pictures of the 16 17 dash. And you've got a hard copy in front of 18 you, and you can clearly see that the vehicle is 19 20 idling right at or maybe even slightly below 500 21 RPMs. 22 Do you see that? 23

- Yes, I can see that. Α.
- In the dial? Q.



1	Α.	Yep.
2	Q.	Do you see that?
3		Now, you mentioned a little while ago
4	that the	vehicle that you did a test drive on up
5	in Chica	go, a 2014 Chevy Chevy I mean, a
6	GMC Sier	ra, that it idled at 500 RPMs as well?
7	Α.	As well as the one down in Louisiana.
8	But yes.	
9	Q.	Did you document that?
10	Α.	Yeah, that would be in the photos.
11	Q.	Photos of the vehicle?
12	Α.	Yeah, when I inspected the two
13	exemplar	s, I should have taken a photo of the
14	dash.	
15	Q.	With the with the
16	Α.	Engine on.
17	Q.	the car on or off?
18	Α.	Yeah, the engine running at idle.
19	Q.	Okay. You say you should have.
20		So if we look at all your stuff after
21	we get o	f comm, I should be able to find a
22	picture	of the dash of the 2014 and the 2015
23	vehicle	that you looked at, and I should be able
24	to see t	hat consistent with your testimony

1	they were both idling at 500 RPMs?
2	A. Yeah, I remember they both were, and I
3	believe I documented it.
4	Q. Well, you remembered that they were,
5	but I didn't see it in your report. Okay?
6	A. How about we pull up the photos? We
7	can answer this right now.
8	Q. I don't know that I can put my hands on
9	them as quickly as I would like. I mean, I know
10	you sent them to us. So if we have them, then
11	we have them. That would be that would be
12	your confirmation. If we don't have them, then
13	we don't have them.
14	That would be the confirmation,
15	correct, would be the photos?
16	A. I mean, I don't need the photos. I
17	remember that was one thing I was looking for.
18	But I'm pretty sure that it was documented.
19	Q. Okay. So the one thing that you were
20	looking for, you didn't put in your report?
21	A. One of the things.
22	Q. Well, one of the things that you were
23	looking for, you didn't put in your report?
24	MS. RAVEENDRAN: I'm going to object.

## 1 BY MR. WHITFIELD: 2 Because it's not in your report. 0. 3 I'm going to object. MS. RAVEENDRAN: 4 is -- he has repeatedly testified that he looked 5 for it and he documented it and that he just can't remember off the top of his head, but he 6 7 believes that there's a picture. So... 8 MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. So I need to put you 9 under oath, Bhavani, because you just told him 10 what to say. 11 Look, I mean, I know we haven't been 12 doing speaking objections until now, but, I 13 mean, I hope we're not going to get to the point 14 that we're going to be prompting our witnesses 15 what to say. 16 MR. MULLINS: Let's see if we can wrap this 17 up, guys. 18 MR. WHITFIELD: I don't know who that was. 19 MS. RAVEENDRAN: I believe that was Chuck. 20 Chuck. MR. MULLINS: MR. WHITFIELD: Oh, hey, Chuck. 21 22 MR. MULLINS: Bill. 23 MR. WHITFIELD: sir? 24 MR. MULLINS: I said, Bill.

Oh, what did you say? 1 MR. WHITFIELD: 2 I said, Bill. MR. MULLINS: 3 Yes, that's me. I'm here. MR. WHITFIELD: MR. MULLINS: All right. Let's go ahead. 4 5 I'm hoping that we can. MR. WHITFIELD: BY MR. WHITFIELD: 6 7 All I'm saying is, is that one of the Q. things that you were looking for, John, was the 8 9 RPMs, and it's not in your report, we know that. And you seem to remember having some pictures 10 11 that you took of the dash on the two exemplars. 12 My point is, is that we should be able 13 to find those pictures and look at the dash of 14 those pictures and confirm that both of those vehicles were idling at 500 because that's one 15 of the things that you were looking for, 16 17 correct? Oh, here, it is in the report. 18 Α. 19 0. sir? 20 I think it might be in the report. Let Α. 21 me see. 22 So on Page 26, I'm talking about the 23 2014 GMC Sierra. I say, "The purpose of this



24

second exemplar inspection was to measure how

1	many pounds of force it would take to prevent
2	the truck from rolling while the engine was
3	running at idle (approximately 500 RPM), and the
4	vehicle was in drive." Then it talks about the
5	test.
6	Q. Okay. Now, are you saying that the
7	vehicle, by that comment, was was basically
8	operating at 500 RPMs? Is that what you're
9	saying?
10	A. Yes, it was.
11	Q. Okay. Well so, then, I guess since
12	it did mean something to you, we should be able
13	to go take we should be able to look at the
14	photographs of the exemplars and we would see
15	that at idle, both of those vehicles were idling
16	at 500 RPM, right?
17	A. Yeah, I believe I documented that.
18	MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. Good.
19	All right. If you'll give me, guys,
20	about 30 seconds, I am probably, if not done,
21	close to being done.
22	Can you give me about a minute?
23	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Bill, I'm going to need a
24	little longer than that. If you don't mind

giving us about ten minutes, I've really got to 1 2 That's why I asked about 40 use the restroom. 3 minutes ago. 4 MR. WHITFIELD: You know, I'm so sorry. Time 5 got away from me. I thought I was going to be 6 done quicker. 7 MS. RAVEENDRAN: No problem. But, yes, why don't we take a 8 MR. WHITFIELD: 9 ten so that I can talk to my colleagues here, and then we'll get back -- let's try to be back 10 11 as quick as we can because it is -- gosh, it's 12 almost 3:00. I've been having so much fun. 13 You got it, Bill. We'll be MS. RAVEENDRAN: 14 back in 10 minutes. No problem. 15 MR. MULLINS: Bill, I think you might be the 16 only one. MR. WHITFIELD: That's having fun? 17 18 MR. MULLINS: That would be correct. 19 MR. WHITFIELD: Hey, you know what? I hear 20 that a lot. 21 MR. MULLINS: I bet you do. 22 (Whereupon, a short break was 23 taken.) 24



1	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
2	Q. All right. Let me pick up where I last
3	left off.
4	As far as the exemplar vehicles that
5	you had a chance to drive, I think you indicated
6	that you had actually I know you said
7	something about driving the one up in Chicago.
8	Did you drive the one that you found
9	down here in Louisiana?
10	A. I did.
11	Q. The 2015?
12	A. I did.
13	Q. Okay. Did you get out on the roadway
14	and then drive it back, come back to the dealer?
15	A. Yeah, I think I went a half mile down,
16	pulled a U-turn, came back.
17	Q. And came back to the car dealer that
18	you that allowed you to drive it?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. Was somebody in the car with you?
21	A. Yeah, both times, someone from the
22	dealership.
23	Q. They were with you.
24	Did you ever find a spot that was

relatively flat that gave you the ability to mimic the terrain that Mr. Parker was on on that night, and then put it in drive and see whether the vehicle would actually move or not?

- A. So when you say "relatively flat," I mean, both -- both parking lots had, you know, slight angles and both of them would roll forward even with the door open. That's something I definitely checked because that's what the investigating officers thought stopped the vehicle, or at least it was written in their reports.
- Q. Well, that's not my question, but since you brought it up, I mean, do you know that GMC ever incorporated that particular feature into their vehicles, where if the door was open, the vehicle would not move forward if it was in drive? Do you know if they ever did that?
- A. It's not a feature on that make and model. Like, newer vehicles have things like electronic parking brake, electronic shift to park, you know, hill hold, things like that that wasn't on the subject vehicle.
  - Q. Correct. I guess I'm just -- kind of



just trying to understand whether you knew that 1 GMC incorporated that particular kind of -- you 2 know, kind of an autostop feature in their 3 vehicles, where the car would stop if you had 4 5 the door open and the car wouldn't move. I mean, are you familiar with that? 6 7 Α. I --No? Yes? Maybe? 8 0. 9 I thought I just told you three different systems that would -- that would stop 10 11 the vehicle is automatic parking brake -- sorry, 12 electronic parking brake so you don't have to 13 push it with your left foot down. Also, the newer vehicles had electronic 14 So some of them had the knobs, some of 15 them had the stalk you knock up and down, but 16 17 they don't have, you know, the mechanical shift. 18 So that can electronically shift to park. 19 And then some vehicles have a hill hold 20 to automatically apply the brake if it 21 recognizes a hill. 22 So those are three different ways that 23 newer vehicles -- nothing to do with our vehicle

-- can do that.

1	Q. Right. So are you saying that there is
2	not a feature in GMC's vehicles these days on
3	any of their models that the car would stop
4	automatically with the door open? Is that what
5	you're saying? It's only those three that you
6	cited?
7	A. No, that's not what I'm saying at all.
8	I'm saying that modern vehicles, GMC, other
9	manufacturers, have those features. The subject
10	vehicle did not.
11	Q. I understand that. I'm not talking
12	about this 2014
13	A. I know, but I feel like I've answered
14	the question, like, three times now.
<b>15</b>	Q. Sir?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. I'm not saying that this 2014 Sierra
18	had a feature where the door would open and come
19	to a stop. You made some comment about that in
20	your report.
21	But I'm asking you, do you know whether
22	GMC ever incorporated that into any of their
23	models after this year? Do you know that?
24	A. I said that yes.



- Q. Well, you only cited to three different examples of it. Okay? I didn't hear you say that you are familiar with GM incorporating a safety feature into any of their models' vehicles where if you had the door open, the car would stop. I didn't hear you say that.

  A. I'm sure that GM has a feature. All modern cars have that feature. Not necessarily every make and model, but a lot of them do.

  Q. Okay. I'm happy with that.
  - So I guess what I hear you saying, though, in terms of the exemplar vehicles, 2014 and 2015 that you found, that you didn't take that out to any relatively flat terrain, kind of the same terrain on 25th Street that we saw that night, and you parked it half on and half off, half on grass, half on street, and then put the vehicle in drive and let it idle at 500 RPMs and see what it would do.
    - You didn't do that, did you?
  - A. No specific test, no. I didn't need to.
    - Q. Okay. All right. Huh?
    - A. I said I didn't need to.



	John Stamm, P
1	Q. Well, I mean, wouldn't it make a
2	difference to you whether you had an exemplar
3	vehicle that you could park half on and half off
4	half on grass, half on street, put the car in
5	drive, and see whether it would move? You're
6	saying you didn't need to do that when that's
7	exactly the issue in this case?
8	A. I measured the tractive force of the
9	engine. I corrected for the slope on the
10	vehicle I measured on. I don't know the exact
11	conditions on the day of the accident. I know
12	that two tires were in the grass. But, you
13	know, how much moisture was in the grass, you
14	know, it's easier it's better to calculate
15	it.

- Q. Well, it's a little bit easier for a vehicle to roll on asphalt than it is on grass, isn't it?
- A. Yes. That's why I describe in the report the rolling resistance coefficient --
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. -- on asphalt versus grass.
- Q. Well, wouldn't you think it would be important for you to take one of those



17

18

19

20

21

22

23

exemplars, and go take it into a neighborhood 1 2 somewhere, and find some spot where you could part it half on grass, half on pavement, and put 3 4 it in drive and see whether it would move? I mean, that didn't kind of just interest you just 5 6 as a -- as a theoretical alternative? 7 MS. RAVEENDRAN: Objection; asked and 8 answered. 9 THE WITNESS: I'm sure I'd find grass that it would roll on and grass that it wouldn't roll 10 11 It doesn't matter. It's not -- had no on. 12 bearing on my opinions. Not all grass is 13 exactly the same. 14 MS. RAVEENDRAN: Can you hear us. Bill? 15 MS. LIND: We can't hear y'all. MS. RAVEENDRAN: We can't hear Bill anymore. 16 17 MS. LIND: Can y'all hear me if I'm talking? 18 MS. RAVEENDRAN: Yeah, Kaara, we can hear 19 you. 20 MS. LIND: Can y'all hear me if I'm talking? 21 MS. RAVEENDRAN: Can you not hear us now? 22 Bill got kicked off the call. MS. LIND: 23 I'll put it on video on my laptop right now. 24 MR. WHITFIELD: Tell them I'll call back in.

1 Now we can hear Bill. MS. RAVEENDRAN: 2 I'm going to have Bill call back. MS. LIND: I was literally looking at 3 MR. WHITFIELD: the phone, and just, like, all of a sudden, it 4 5 just stopped. 6 (Off the record.) 7 BY MR. WHITFIELD: So I think you were in the middle of an 8 0. 9 explanation, and I'm trying to remember exactly 10 the nature of it. I think that I was asking you 11 a question about whether a vehicle would roll 12 easier on pavement than it would on grass, and 13 you were about to tell me something or you were about to testify. 14 Yeah, I said I agree, it's easier to 15 roll -- there's less of a rolling resistance on 16 17 pavement versus grass. All right. Do you know whether a 18 0. 19 vehicle -- that a vehicle would roll easier with 20 two wheels on grass and two wheels on the 21 pavement? Do you know that? 22 So two and two versus four on pavement? Α. 23 Q. Yeah.



Α.

24

It would roll easier on just pavement.

1	Q. Okay. All right. It would require
2	additional energy or additional force to make
3	the vehicle overall move if you had two on
4	pavement, two on grass?
5	A. It depends, I guess well, let me
6	think about this.
7	Yeah, your rolling resistance force
8	that's retarding the motion is going to be the
9	weight of the vehicle times the rolling
10	resistance of each wheel. So the rolling
11	resistance coefficient of the wheels in the
12	grass is going to be higher, so there's going to
13	be a larger force retarding its motion. So you
14	would need more force from the tractive effort
15	from the engine going through to the wheels to
16	propel it forward.
17	Now, that's
18	Q. Right.
19	A from a stop. Right? Obviously,
20	it's different if you're going 5 miles an hour,
21	10 miles an hour, whatever speed you're going.
22	You're going to have momentum on your side.
23	Q. Right. Until you hit the brake?
24	A. I mean, if you hit the brake, you can

stop.

- Q. Yeah. So if there were any rolling that were to occur after a stop, it would require the vehicle to generate enough energy to roll all four wheels forward, correct?
- A. Right. After it stops and you release the brake and the vehicle rolls forward, that means the force generated by the vehicle is greater than the rolling resistance which is trying to stop the vehicle.
  - Q. Correct.

would you think, Mr. Stamm, that it would be better for you to have inspected and possibly even operated the Parker vehicle in this case rather than go get a facsimile or an exemplar vehicle?

- A. I don't know if it would be better.

  It's always easier and I'd say cleaner because you don't have to describe the differences between the vehicles. But the ones I looked at were good matches for the subject vehicle.
- Q. So the ones that you looked at were good matches except for the mileage, right?
  - A. They had different mileage, yes.



Q.	Right.	And	the	maintenance?
₹.	9	,		

- A. I'm sure the history of each vehicle is different.
  - Q. Correct. it would be.

We know that the mileage on the Parker vehicle was something over 135 and something short of 140 at the time of the accident -- or this incident, right?

- A. That's what the CARFAX indicated.
- Q. And out of all of the vehicles that you used as a facsimile -- well, the two vehicles that you used as a facsimile, none of them had the mileage that this particular vehicle owned by Mr. Parker had, correct?
- A. I don't recall the mileage on the one in Louisiana. The one in the Chicagoland area, I know was over 100,000. I don't recall if it was above or below the Parker mileage.
- Q. So since you didn't have the Parker vehicle to inspect and/or possibly drive, would having that vehicle, driving that vehicle, inspecting that vehicle, looking at that vehicle, would that have been better for you as an expert in terms of giving your opinion?



- A. I don't see how it would change any of my opinions.
- Q. Well, wouldn't the condition of the vehicle affect your opinion? Is it your testimony that the condition of the vehicle does not have anything at all to do with your opinions?
- A. No. What I know of the subject vehicle is the make, the model, the fact that it was in drive, it was idling at 500 RPMs, similar -- you know, the same as the exemplar I tested to get my tractive force number. I utilized that from my calculations in the report, demonstrating how far it would roll.

I also was looking at is there any feature with the door being open to bring the vehicle -- to prevent it from rolling forward. There was nothing there. You know, I don't know how else to answer that.

Q. I understand.

Well, what I hear you saying is, is that if you had the original vehicle, knowing what we know about the condition of the vehicle, you're saying it wouldn't have made any



_		
1	differen	ce for your in your opinion that you
2	would have	ve had the vehicle involved in this
3	particul	ar event available for your inspection.
4		You're saying it wouldn't have made any
5	differen	ce?
6	Α.	I can't think of something that I
7	needed to	o know for my opinions that I didn't
8	Q.	I mean, wouldn't it
9	Α.	find out from the exemplars.
10	Q.	Wouldn't the condition, though, of the
11	engine a	nd the condition of the transmission and
12	the drive	etrain, wouldn't that matter to you?
13	Α.	There's no evidence that anything was
14	wrong wi	th it.
<b>15</b>	Q.	There's no evidence everything was
16	right wi	th it, either?
17	Α.	I mean, there's evidence that it's
18	being dr	iven on the road.
19	Q.	Sir, if what did you just say?
20	Α.	I said there's evidence that it's being
21	driven.	I didn't see anything in the CARFAX
22	that ind	icated there was anything significantly
23	wrong wi	th it.
24	Q.	Okay. Yeah, but being driven on the



1	road doesn't mean the vehicle's in good
2	condition, does it?
3	A. So if I reran that test I did in the
4	exemplar when it was brand new, so that's the
5	pull test with the exemplar, I would suspect to
6	get similar numbers. I can't tell you how
7	close, but it's going to be similar. It's not
8	like you're going to get double and triple the
9	force numbers. They're going to be close.
10	Q. Okay. Okay.
11	MR. WHITFIELD: All right. Well, look, I've
12	had enough of you, which in legal parlance, that
13	means I'm done.
14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
15	MR. WHITFIELD: Thank you so much for your
16	patience with me. I know that at times, it's
17	been a little testy.
18	THE WITNESS: That's all right. It's nice to
19	meet you, Bill.
20	MS. RAVEENDRAN: And, Bill, I just had
21	MR. WHITFIELD: Nice to meet you.
22	MS. RAVEENDRAN: a few follow-up questions
23	here. It should
24	MR. WHITFIELD: Ma'am?



1	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Can you hear me? I said I
2	have a few follow-up questions. It should be
3	brief.
4	MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. All right.
5	MS. RAVEENDRAN: I'm going to zoom out so you
6	can see me, too, unless you prefer I stay in on
7	the witness. It's your choice.
8	MR. WHITFIELD: You're going to have to lean
9	into the camera so I can see you, Bhavani.
10	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Yeah, I don't know what it's
11	doing. Well, I have an idea. I'll just move it
12	out a little bit.
13	All right. You can see me?
14	MR. WHITFIELD: I can see you well.
15	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Okay. Great.
16	Yeah, just a couple questions.
17	EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. RAVEENDRAN:
19	Q. Okay. John, are all of the opinions in
20	your report offered to a reasonable degree of
21	certainty within your expertise in engineering?
22	A. They are.
23	MR. WHITFIELD: To which I object. There's
24	not one word in his opinion about a reasonable



degree of probability or whatever. And I asked him that question a zillion times, and he said that they were all possibilities. So I object and I move to strike it.

And let the record reflect also that we

And let the record reflect also that we took about a 10- or 15-minute break toward the end of my examination and that question.

MS. RAVEENDRAN: Hey, Bill, I'm going to refer you --

MR. WHITFIELD: So I object.

MR. RAVEENDRAN: I'm going to refer you -- I understand your objection, but I'm going to refer you to Page 1 of his report, second paragraph. "All opinions and conclusions stated in this report are based on my work to date on this matter, as well as my background, education, training, and experience, and are stated to a reasonable degree of engineering certainty."

MR. WHITFIELD: Okay. So I got it. And I understand that that's in there, but when you get to the opinions, the specific opinions, that word is not mentioned. In fact, the qualifier in every opinion that has any relevance at all



is, I don't know whether he was pushing the 1 Okay? That's the issue of this 2 brake. particular case. You can't say to a reasonable 3 degree of medical -- engineering probability or 4 5 possibility that that happened. So you can't just take an umbrella and 6 7 say everything in my opinion is to a reasonable 8 degree of engineering probability when, in fact, the actual opinion is otherwise. 9 MS. RAVEENDRAN: Weren't you the one that 10 11 said --12 So I object to that. MR. WHITFIELD: We can 13 take it up with the judge. That's fine. Ask 14 your questions. 15 MS. RAVEENDRAN: You can file your --16 MR. WHITFIELD: He can answer it. 17 MS. RAVEENDRAN: Hey, Bill, you can file your 18 motion. You're the one who told me not to do speaking objections. I misspoke once, talked 19 20 too much, and so I pulled back after that. So 21 I'd appreciate the same courtesy. 22 I hear your objection. It's in his 23 report. And I'll also point out it's also on 24 Page 31 before all of the opinions and



1 conclusions.

So you might have a motion you want to file, but that is not appropriate here. I'm asking him what it says in his report.

MR. WHITFIELD: Look, you -- so, look, I got it. I understand that. I'm not prompting the witness -- I'm not prompting your witness. I'm just simply saying that the specific opinions that matter in this case, he has rendered them as a possibility only, not only in the report, but by his testimony.

MS. RAVEENDRAN: And I am --

MR. WHITFIELD: So I object to you coming along and taking this one paragraph that's somehow some catchall and saying all of my opinions in this letter are to a reasonable degree of engineering certainty, which is not so.

So, yes, I am going to file a motion to strike, and I move to strike that question and the answer.

BY MS. RAVEENDRAN:

Q. Okay. Well, I have another question for you, John.



1	If you could look at your report,
2	Page 31, in the section that says, "10.0
3	Opinions and Conclusions," does it state, "Based
4	on my review and analysis of this case, and my
5	education, training and experience as a
6	professional engineer and an accident
7	reconstructionist, I have the following
8	questions [sic] held to a reasonable degree of
9	engineering certainty," and then you list seven
10	opinions? Is that right?
11	A. So just one change. You said
12	"following questions," it's "following
13	opinions."
14	Q. I misspoke, yeah.
15	A. But yes, that's what it says.
16	Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you
17	specifically as to Opinions 6 and
18	MR. WHITFIELD: Which same objection, by the
19	way. Same objection.
20	BY MS. RAVEENDRAN:
21	Q. I'm going to ask you to look at
22	Opinions 6 and 7.
23	Opinions 6 and 7 both describe two
24	different scenarios; is that right?



1	A. Correct.
2	Q. Where did you get information regarding
3	two different scenarios on scene?
4	A. So that was based on my analysis of the
5	vehicle, the path taken, the measurements. So
6	there is the two different scenarios.
7	Q. Okay. And are those two different
8	scenarios scenarios that came from witness
9	testimony?
10	A. NO.
11	Q. Okay. So those are the two scenarios
12	under which you tested the probability of
13	something occurring on scene; is that correct?
14	A. Correct
15	MR. WHITFIELD: Object to the leading.
16	THE WITNESS: These are the two scenarios
17	based on the physics of the scene and the
18	vehicle.
19	BY MS. RAVEENDRAN:
20	Q. Okay. And within each scenario, are
21	your opinions to a reasonable degree of
22	engineering certainty?
23	A. Yes.
24	MR. WHITFIELD: Same objection, the one I



1 that I made before this one. 2 Understood. MS. RAVEENDRAN: 3 BY MR. WHITFIELD: And regarding Opinions 6 and 7, is it 4 **Q.** 5 your opinion, within a reasonable degree of 6 certainty, that these are the two scenarios 7 under which the truck could come to a stop where 8 it was eventually found after the incident? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And I recall that you were responding Q. 11 to some questions from Bill about whether there 12 was a possibility or a probability regarding the 13 two scenarios. Is it your testimony that each scenario 14 15 is possible and that your opinions as to each of those scenarios are probable? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 Okay. Thank you. Q. Is it your opinion -- strike that. 19 20 Do you have an opinion whether or not 21 the bush near the grass where the GM -- 2014 GMC 22 driven by Mr. Parker came to a stop has any 23 relevance to the degree in which it stopped or 24 where it stopped?

1	A. Yeah.
2	Q. What is that opinion?
3	A. Possibly scraping the bush is not going
4	to stop the truck.
5	Q. You provided some calculations and
6	data, pictures, and scans to defendant's counsel
7	with regard to this deposition; is that right?
8	A. I did.
9	Q. Is that your work product of your
10	methodology in this matter?
11	A. It is.
12	Q. Appendix A of your report indicates a
13	number of documents that you received at the
14	time that you provided this report for your
15	disclosure; is that right?
16	A. It is.
17	Q. Is it correct that you have received a
18	couple additional documents as they've been made
19	available?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. Do you recall that you received
22	expert reports from the defendants and some
23	discovery responses from Defendant Cuevas?
24	A. Yes.

1	Q. /	And I believe you may have received a
2	recording	of Mr. Markray; is that right?
3	Α.	I did.
4	Q. (	Okay. Did any of those documents
5	change yo	ur opinions that you provided in this
6	matter?	
7	Α.	They did not.
8	Q. '	You described that you had some
9	assistanc	e creating dep summaries; is that
10	correct?	
11	Α. `	Yes.
12	Q.	For any of the depositions that you
13	yourself	did not summarize, did you go back and
14	look at t	hem?
15	Α. `	Yes.
16	Q. 1	Why is that?
17	Α.	Because I wanted to make sure that the
18	summary w	as accurate.
19	Q. 1	when you provided your opinions, were
20	they base	d on any particular topics of science
21	that you'	ve studied?
22	Α.	Yeah, physics and mechanics.
23	Q. ,	And what about engineering?
24	Α.	Yeah, it's all under engineering.

1	Q. Can you explain in engineering
2	terminology what a radius is?
3	A. Sure. All the way across the circle is
4	the diameter. Halfway across the circle is the
5	radius.
6	Q. Would it be fair to say that you are
7	not making credibility opinions with your
8	report? You are not trying to say which
9	witnesses are more or less believable?
10	A. That's true.
11	MS. RAVEENDRAN: That's all the questions I
12	have.
13	THE WITNESS: Okay.
14	MR. WHITFIELD: I have a few, maybe a few or
15	more than a few.
16	FURTHER EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
18	Q. So we took about a 10- or 15-minute
19	break a little while ago before we started into
20	the direct.
21	Did you talk with Counsel about your
22	testimony before you came in the room and
23	answered questions from her on direct? Did you
24	talk with her about the subject matter that we

## were about to talk about?

- A. We talked to each other.
- Q. Did you go over the reasonable degree of medical -- reasonable degree of engineering probability, possibility, and all that? Did y'all talk about that?
  - A. I mean --

MS. RAVEENDRAN: I'm going to object to the point that this is confidential. But I'll advise him that he can -- well, I'm not sure where the line is here. I think he can talk about, like, the subjects, but not what was spoken to about it. Right?

MR. WHITFIELD: Well, no, actually, I disagree with that. I think that to the extent that this witness remained under oath, I don't know that you had the privilege of being able to talk with him about proposed testimony once we got back on the record.

## BY MR. WHITFIELD:

Q. And that's what I want to know, is whenever we took a break, did you talk with Counsel about the questions that were just asked of you?



1	A. She told me that we were going to ask
2	my opinions with a reasonable degree of
3	engineering certainty.
4	Q. Okay. So that you knew that was
5	coming, right?
6	A. I mean, they obviously are. I state it
7	multiple times in my report.
8	Q. You talked with her about the words
9	"reasonable degree of engineering certainty" at
10	a break, and then came in and answered her
11	questions about that particular topic, correct?
12	A. She said she was going to ask that.
13	Q. But she told you she was going to ask
14	you some questions about it?
15	A. I stated in my report that all my
16	opinions are to a reasonable degree of
17	engineering certainty, and she said that she was
18	going to ask about it and that
19	Q. So you talked about it, right, John?
20	A. When you say
21	Q. You talked about it?
22	A. I think I answered the question, did I
23	not?
24	O. T think you did.

1	So let me ask you this: So
2	Ms. Raveendran asked you to look at the last two
3	pages of your opinion on Pages 31 and 32. And
4	as I appreciate her question, she asked you that
5	your Opinions 1 through 7 represent two
6	different scenarios. 6 and 7 are one set of
7	scenarios and then 1 through 5 are another set.
8	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Objection. That was not my
9	question.
10	MR. WHITFIELD: I'm trying to understand it.
11	MS. RAVEENDRAN: That was not my question.
12	THE WITNESS: That's
13	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
14	Q. Well so 6 and 7 represent scenarios,
15	right?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. Isn't that the question? Isn't that
18	what she said?
19	MS. RAVEENDRAN: That was my question.
20	BY MR. WHITFIELD:
21	Q. 6 and 7 represent scenarios. And I
22	guess one is juxtaposed to the other is
23	different, right? Is that your opinion? Is
24	6 is one scenario and 7 is another scenario. Is

that what you wrote? Is that what she asked you and what you wrote?

A. So what I wrote in the report is there's a scenario where the truck was stopped before the shooting, and there's another scenario where the truck came to an immediate stop after the shooting.

Now, the rest of the opinion is based on my -- you know, it's to a reasonable degree engineering of certainty. It's based on the testing that I did and my calculations.

Q. Well -- so each scenario -- and I don't know that either scenario is particularly juxtaposed.

But either scenario, in your mind -both of those scenarios are what you are
characterizing to a reasonable degree of
engineering probability, right, but that one of
them -- neither one of them is probable; they're
just both possible, right? Is that what I heard
you say?

A. So I can't say which scenario occurred.

I can't say if the truck was stopped or not.

But under the scenario where the truck



was stopped, I describe -- let's see. If it was stopped prior to the shooting, the service brake was not applied, he had to be traveling very slow, and that's based on the calculations that I did and the testing. Alternatively, he could have applied the service brake to stop before the shooting. That's one scenario. All that's to a reasonable degree of engineering certainty.

The other scenario is if it was brought to an immediate stop after the shooting. And under that scenario, you had to apply the service brake. And that's based on my background and testing and everything I describe in the report, and that's to a reasonable degree of engineering certainty as well.

- Q. But neither one of them is capable of being opined to a reasonable degree of engineering probability to the exclusion of the other? Both of them are possibilities, correct?
- A. There's two scenarios. I can't eliminate either scenario.
- Q. But both of them are possible, right?
  One or the other is possible. One's possible,
  the other one is possible.



1	But you're saying both of them are
2	probable, but this one is possible and this
3	one's possible under the umbrella of
4	probability? Is that what you're saying?
5	A. I'm getting lost in your probabilities
6	and possibilities.
7	Q. Okay. Here's I'm having a real hard
8	time understanding your concept of what is and
9	what is not probable.
10	No. 7 is not probable to the exclusion
11	of 6, is it?
12	A. 6 and 7 both cannot be true at the same
13	time. How about that?
14	Q. But neither one of them neither one
15	of them is probable over the other?
16	A. Just based on the physical evidence,
17	no, I can't say one way or the other.
18	MR. WHITFIELD: That's all I've got. Okay.
19	All right.
20	MS. RAVEENDRAN: All right. I don't have any
21	follow-up.
22	MR. WHITFIELD: Ma'am?
23	MS. RAVEENDRAN: Can you hear me?
24	MR. WHITFIELD: Okay.



```
I just said I don't --
 1
         MS. RAVEENDRAN:
                          I can hear you.
 2
         MR. WHITFIELD:
                                            I can see
     your mouth moving.
 3
         MS. RAVEENDRAN:
                           I don't have any follow-up
 4
 5
     questions. That's all I'm adding.
                      (Whereupon, the proceedings
 6
                       concluded at 3:31 p.m.)
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS SS. 2 COUNTY OF COOK 3 I, GINA M. SYLVESTER, Certified Shorthand 4 5 Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that heretofore, to-wit, on 6 September 28, 2023, JOHN STAMM, P.E., appeared 7 8 at 321 North Clark Street, Chicago, Illinois, in 9 a cause now pending and undetermined in the in the United States District Court for the 10

Jr., Deceased, is the Plaintiff, and the CITY OF GULFPORT, et al., are the Defendants.

I further certify that the said

JOHN STAMM, P.E., was first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by said witness was reported stenographically by me in the presence of the said witness, and afterwards reduced to typewriting by Computer-Aided Transcription, and the foregoing is a true and correct transcript

Southern District of Mississippi, Southern

Division, wherein CATINA PARKER, as Personal

Representative of the Estate of Leonard Parker,

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

of the testimony so given by said witness as aforesaid.

I further certify that the taking of this deposition was pursuant to notice and that there were present at the deposition the attorneys hereinbefore mentioned.

I further certify that I am not counsel for nor in any way related to the parties to this suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF. I have hereunto sat my verified digital signature on this 12th day of October, 2023.

GINA M. SYLVESTER, CSR, RPR License No. 084-004856